

	Submitter	Speed change location #	SH10 Awanui to Kaingaroa submissions
Note about blocked-out text: Unless submitted by an organisation, we do not publish comments that identify individual submitters.			
1	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	Keep it 100km, I travel this road twice a day sometimes 3. I have NEVER seen people walking this stretch of road only children hopping off school buses which park on dangerous pieces of this road anyway, and have never seen any accidents in the last 15yrs. What a waste of money. This is also going to put a strain on truck drivers who already are trying to get their days work in within their driving hours this will only cause frustration and extra time. Spend the money and resources on fixing the roads.
2	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<p>Good afternoon NZTA</p> <p>It is interesting to note that only 10.68% of accidents were due to speed.</p> <p>Why the focus on speed restrictions?</p> <p>Alcohol was 18.40% , so no speed limits will change that. (Noted- no record of drug related accidents)</p> <p>You must remember in all of this, you are inhibiting movement for the regular road users, who travel the State Highways, by lowering speed limits.</p> <p>Your responsibility as operating the SH network, is to ensure these routes are unhindered, improved, and upgraded regularly.</p> <p>This approach, like so many things in NZ, we use the lowest common denominator as a benchmark, which is so often caused by a very small minority of persons.</p> <p>I have travelled that stretch of road for 15+ years and attended only 1 x accident.</p>
3	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	I believe that by lowering the speed limits to the proposed speed limits in the areas in the far north kaitia, awanui and kaingaroa areas is going to make our roads more dangerous by creating the already impatient idiots on the road even more impatient and cause them to drive more recklessly... I would rather see more slow bays and passing lanes to allow these people to pass freely. Without putting other road users at risk. Let's reduce there need to do stupid things to get from A to B by allowing them more safe places to pass traffic.
4	Brake NZ	All 6 speed change locations	<p>This response relates to the following projects:</p> <p>SH10 Awanui to Kaingaroa</p> <p>SH1 Moerewa to Kawakawa</p> <p>SH11 Puketona to Paihia</p> <p>About Brake</p> <p>Brake is a road safety charity with global interests, and branches in the UK and New Zealand. It approaches road safety and sustainable travel using the Vision Zero method. That is to say, the charity considers that all deaths and injuries on roads are unacceptable, and eliminating carbon emissions from transport, which is the largest contributing carbon sector, should be approached with equal zeal. Brake's vision is a world where people can move around in ways that are safe, sustainable, healthy and fair.</p> <p>Brake promotes road safety awareness, safe and sustainable road use, and effective road safety policies. It does this through national campaigns, community activities, services for employers and fleet professionals, and coordination of national Road Safety Week.</p> <p>Brake also cares for families bereaved and injured in road crashes. It does this by providing specialist support resources to families following a crash.</p> <p>Brake's response to the speed review</p> <p>Brake supports the proposals to lower speed limits on sections of these roads to reduce road deaths and injuries, however we feel that some of these speed limits need to be reduced further to provide safe streets for communities.</p> <p>There is significant data and evidence to show that reducing traffic speeds is a highly effective way of reducing traffic related deaths and injuries. The risk of crashing, and of being killed or seriously injured in a crash increases exponentially to an increase in speed. The faster vehicles travel, the more frequent and severe road crashes become.</p> <p>Brake supports the lowering of speed limits in all three of these proposals to make these rural roads safer. Current speed limits do not match the conditions of these stretches of road, and community engagement seems to indicate that locals agree current limits are not safe. It is also important that engineering measures are considered to further improve safety.</p> <p>Brake feels strongly that some of the towns and villages should be considered for further lowering of speed. Our towns and villages need to be safe for everyone, regardless of their mode of transport, age or socio-economic area. Children, young people and older people are disproportionately represented in road death and injury statistics. Communities should be able to access their local amenities like schools, playgrounds, shops and community venues, safely.</p> <p>In the proposals it is noted that several communities have expressed their concern around safety:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kareponia is a high risk area for children due to the Kohanga Reo crossing and school buses turning.

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Moerewa is unsafe for people walking and cycling in the local community. • Haruru and Watea are experiencing growths in population and there are more people cycling. <p>Whilst those areas will all see reduced speed limits, these will still be 50km/h or even 60km/h for Haruru. Speed is an important factor in determining the outcome of a crash. People make mistakes so it's vital that our road system helps to minimise the consequences of those mistakes.</p> <p>The World Health Organisation (WHO) has emphasised the need for 30km/h limits, stating that in areas where 'motorised traffic mixes with pedestrians, cyclists, and moped riders, the speed limit must be under 30km/h' due to the vulnerability of these road users .</p> <p>This is particularly important for protecting children, who often make mistakes when using roads. Research has found that children cannot judge the speed of approaching vehicles travelling faster than 30km/h, so may believe it is safe to cross when it is not .</p> <p>Given the communities mentioned above have specifically talked about the safety of people walking, cycling and the vulnerability of children, Brake feels strongly that even lower limits should be considered for these areas. Lower speeds reduce the severity of crashes, saving lives and reducing the number of families who suffer the tragedy of losing a loved one on the road. In communities they also help to enable children and families to walk and cycle to local amenities such as schools, shops and playgrounds.</p> <p>Road safety is both a transport and public health issue. In addition to deaths and injuries in crashes, traffic also has an impact through air and noise pollution, and people's level of physical activity.</p> <p>Lower speeds result in a decrease in fuel use and fewer emissions and pollutants, resulting in cleaner, greener and more liveable communities.</p> <p>More widely, Brake would like to see a broader framework for safe and healthy streets that includes a safe system for roads and safe traffic speeds, but also considers the health and environmental impacts of transport, and works to support safe and healthy mobility for all.</p>
5	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<p>Hi guys. All that's going to happen if you change the speeds is create major traffic congestion and road rage. Slowing trucks down before a hill is stupid. No passing lanes it's no good there's going to be a lot of dangerous overtaking and accidents. That's my opinion thanks</p>
6	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>When the community was consulted about the new bridge, I know a real concern was expressed about the speed limit along the area between the new bridge, and Ngati kahu road in Taipa. I know that a number of people including myself put in the consultation document that was released, that the current speed limit be addressed and at the very least move the sign for its current location of just north of the school, to North of Ngati kahu road. There have been 2 accidents that I know of recently long this section of rd, including a car rolling and [REDACTED] Another occurred on a weekend when a car pulled into the school and was taken out by another overtaking. It is only a matter of time before a major event occurs along at Ngati kahu road by the speed of cars being misread by people pulling out of Ngati kahu road.</p> <p>Is this being done? If not how can this be achieved?</p> <p>Awanui to Kaiangroa. Reduce the speed from 100km/h to 80km/h between Awanui and Kareponia Reduce the speed from 100km/h to 60km/h through Kareponia village Disagree - I believe that 80km is reasonable Reduce the speed from 100km/h to 80 km/h between the villages of Kareponia and Kaingaroa Reduce the speed from 100km/h to 60 km/h through Kaingaroa village. Disagree 80 km hr - is reasonable. Reduce the speed from 100km/h to 80 km/h from Kaingaroa village to Pukewhai Road . I agree with this. Extend the existing 50km/h speed limit in Awanui by 180m further east I Agree with the speed change of 50 km hr moving 180m East toward Taipa. I also believe 80km after Awanui through to east of Kaiangaroa school is sufficient. Is there talk of 40 km hr signs in front of school during school hours?</p> <p>Taipa -I reside in Taipa-[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>In addition I would like to see the 60km extended through Taipa beyond the bridge and town ship are and extend it through to 100 m beyond Ngati kahu rd, and double yellow lines all the way through to the turn off to Parapara road. Currently the 100 km sign starts about 20 m beyond the school. This is not on. Not only that the size of the sign impede vision pulling out of the school drive way there and for 2 house that are there. Madness that it is even there. I know when the bridge consultation happened this was raised, and people did submit this. There have been 2 accidents [REDACTED] outside the school. One car ended up [REDACTED] rolled</p>

			<p>between the school and Ngati kahu road.</p> <p>ALso there needs to be a pull over area in the middle of the road entering the bridge travelling from the north to the south to allow for cars to pass on the left going into Oruru to wait for oncoming traffic to pass through who are heading north to Kaitaia. The island structure on the road that is currently there should be removed - To me it serves no purpose other than to point to the side of the road a driver is supposed to be on. I See it being a problem in high traffic flow times and a real issue in the summer over Xmas.</p> <p>The 40 km hr flashing lights that are currently outside of Taipa area school need to be actually used as well during school hours.</p> <p>Insert a Pedestrian crossing at Taipa or Tunnel or Ramp to allow for easy access to the sports field straight across the road. I note that there is one in Kaitaia to allow students to cross the road at Kaitaia intermediate. Transit have given this school numerous reasons in the past as to why they can't put a crossing here along SH10 - My argument is why not when it is done on SH 1? Crossing this is a nightmare for teachers with groups of students.</p>
7	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<p>Leave the 100km alone. agree around the more dense housing areas to drop to 80km or slightly lower. Please provide all submitters with the actual number of submissions for and against each recommendation</p>
8	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<p>Thankyou for advising of the probable proposed changes. I totally agree with these changes and thankyou for the opportunity to voice our concerns. I look forward to travelling safer roads.</p>
9	Far North District Council – Te Hiku Ward	All 6 speed change locations	<p>██████ Good evening.</p> <p>With this consultation many people have raised real concern about the proposed speed limit changes within the Awanui to Kaingaora areas.</p> <p>Of particular concern is the change of speed limits down to the very low residential speed limits in two areas, and then raising the speed limit back up to 80km outside of these areas, to the end of the speed limit review area. It is suggested that a consistent speed of 70km per hour is provided until after Kaingaora School, even to the top of the windy corner and hill that is located after Kaingaora School. However, after this hill, that the speed limit be increased back to 100km per hour. There are no schools or areas of higher density housing provided after Kaingaroa, however it is recognised that the windy corner and hill after the school (between the school and Taipa) is dangerous, and therefore a reduction in speed may be a useful tool to reduce potential harm on our roads.</p> <p>It is recognised that the 50km area in awanui should be extended out further to past the advance build depot, to recognise the high traffic use of this site and this area.</p> <p>It is also recognised that the area in Kaitaia between North Park and Wireless Road needs attention as soon as practicable to reduce speed limits, as this area has significant congestion and is identified by the community as a high risk area for traffic accidents with trucks turning out of Whangatane Drive, and buses and residents turning out to Wireless Road.</p> <p>It is suggested that much more sensible, practical, and safer approach overall to this speed reduction proposal, would be to provide physical works for a bus pull over bay on the kareponia hill area, and also safe turning intersections be provided into Church road/Pairatahi Rd (Diagram E standard), instead of spending funds on consultation (as these are long known issues for the community and requested physical work from the community that NZTA is aware of) . These works would provide more safe alternatives, and it is with hope that these works can be done within the low cost/low risk budgets from NZTA.</p>

10	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<p>I have just shared this message on Facebook.</p> <p>^Unless you want to take an hour to get to Kaitaia and give traffic officers easy targets for tickets, you better have your say. If you seriously think 60 is an ok speed on a state highway go back to driver training. This makes me fume. They are legislating against stupid drivers who steer on auto pilot with no reactive, responsive or defensive driving skills. Accidents along here were caused by texting, impatience or inattention. Maybe adding more passing lanes would be a better option. 80 through Kareponia is okay, slow to 20kph if a school bus is there like the law states. 50kph before Awanui is ok. Sorry but Kaingaroa is barely a village. Madness is ruling us all.^</p> <p>I have driven this road since 1974. Us old locals have no problem driving to suit conditions. I drive this road nearly every day. The standards of driving have dropped significantly. We have new people moving up to this area all the time. In reality there are no passing lanes up here. South of Whangarei there is a passing lane every 5 km or so. Further north there are fewer. Between KeriKeri and Mangonui there are very few. Have you driven up here or looking at Google maps?</p> <p>I challenge you to present the causes of accidents along this road. I have told you what they were. ■■■■■ truck: driver texting. Zidich rd corners: texting, truck trailer took corner too quickly, warning signs already in place. Kareponia: child hit by vehicle because it wasn't doing the 20kph as required by law. Driver killed overtaking, fatal decision. Marae being driven into, driver stupidity or medical incident?</p> <p>Lower speeds do not fix stupidity but penalises the rest of us. Millions of dollars didn't fix the Zidich road area because poor engineering design made cambers all wrong. I would love to take you for a drive up here so you could experience it. Traffic flows at 100kph beautifully around 6-7a.m. By 8-9 we have the talkers, brakers, phone users, slow/ nervous drivers. Getting to work in Kaitaia will be painful. It's not like we have public transport as an option. One bus runs at times most of us can't use.</p> <p>Please feel free to contact me.</p>
11	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<p>I am writing on behalf of a number of residents who are extremely concerned at the speed vehicles are travelling on Te Pua Rd. Of late the volume of traffic has increased together with speed and accidents.</p> <p>We would appreciate a review of Te Pua Rd with a recommendation of lowering the speed from SH12 to Lake Rd off Te Pua Rd to 80km.</p>

12	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<p>To whom it may concern, please find my submission and details on the proposed SH10 Awanui to Kaingaroa speed limit changes below: Firstly, I understand that lower speeds reduce likelihood of fatality in an accident, and I also do agree that a new speed limit should be applied to the Kareponia village. However, this is where my agreement with the present proposal ends. I would like to breakdown my disagreement in into points for easier understanding.</p> <p>Fatalities</p> <p>To my understanding the three fatalities mentioned in the proposal all have the primary contributing factor of irresponsible driving; two of the cases were driving under the influence of illicit substances. The other case, if I am correct in the instances mentioned, the driver was using her cell phone. Another instance that comes to mind but may be out of the 10 year bracket, also saw an elderly woman die when she and her driver crashed with another vehicle which was performing 'skids' across the road at night. I would like to re-iterate that these are only to the best of my knowledge, however, they all do not have the primary cause of speed but the cause of irresponsible/illegal driving. Although speed may have been the difference between life and death, I do not believe that a sign with a different speed limit will have stopped these drivers from driving illegally which in these cases caused death.</p> <p>Dangerous driving problem I have witnessed</p> <p>The proposed limiting of speed from Awanui through Kaingaroa will exacerbate the problem of people overtaking slower drivers. There are a large number of drivers that drive at 80km per hour along this stretch of road currently, which is supported by the average speed in the proposal. Due to this, people who are capable of driving at the 100km limit are often looking to get past. With the proposed limits these drivers will no-longer be able to overtake on the straights above and below Kareponia hill, and in-turn are likely to do it on the straights after Kaingaroa School; or worse take more risk on corners. It may be a better alternative to have more passing lanes available.</p> <p>Travel Time</p> <p>The impact of the proposed limits also will affect the time taken to travel through this stretch of road. The proposal suggests that this will only take an extra 85 seconds. However, I do believe this estimate is inaccurate. I wonder if the draftsman considered the impact of a 60km limit on heavy vehicles having to travel uphill, as they begin their climb, they will already be at a lesser speed which will cause them to be an absolute crawl by the top of Kareponia/Kaingaroa Hills.</p> <p>Economy</p> <p>The economical effects of the limits will be on transport companies and this cost will be transferred onto the local economy. As I have stated above I do not believe that these limits will only increase travel time by 85 seconds, but for arguments sake I will use this as an example:</p> <p>1 heavy haulage vehicle moving through this zone 4 times in one day (two round trips) will total 5:40 minutes per day, at 85 seconds. This does not sound like much but over a week (5 days) is 28:20 minutes; and over a year is 24.5 hours. At the average wage for a truck driver in the far north say \$22 per hour (this is conservative), which will be $22 \times 24.5 = \\$539$ per truck driver-per year. Now we could apply this to Mangonui Haulage, Fulton Hogan, Far North Roding, Jecentho's Contracting and many other haulage companies that regularly use this road. Mangonui Haulage having approximately 10 forestry haulers using this road, would increase their cost alone by \$5390.00. This is a cost that is not including wear costs or profit margin increases.</p> <p>All of these costs will be forwarded onto the local consumers in the region, which already has an average economy. If we think about Fulton Hogan, Far North Roding, and other roading contractors their costs -with profit margins and wear- will be directed to the Far North District Council, and NZTA respectively in the upkeep of roading.</p> <p>Reasons for/against limits</p> <p>Although I disagree with the current speed limit proposal, I do agree that something can be done about both safety and traffic congestion. Firstly, the village of Kareponia should have a speed limit due to the density and vicinity of the population there. However, I do believe that</p>
----	----------------------	------------------------------	---

			<p>this limit does not need to be 60km per hour, I believe a limit of 80km or 70km will suffice, I base this on my own personal comparison of Kareponia to Pukepoto School and the surrounding area. This is the only location that I believe should have any limit reduction.</p> <p>Kaingaroo village has sufficient footpaths and ample roading space for turning vehicles at the Duncan road intersection. The Kaingaroo School has ample room at the road side for vehicles to have vision and even can pick up speed before getting on the highway. The School here also collects and drops kids far from the highway itself so there is no risk to them.</p> <p>For all of the other zones I cannot see a reason for speed limit reduction, especially along the straights after the Kareponia village heading east before Arawhata road, and as for the corners people drive around them at speeds that they are capable.</p> <p>Alternative measures</p> <p>Traffic congestion causing drivers to overtake can be mitigated with more areas for passing. For the reasons mentioned above I think that this may be a more appealing alternative to the proposed speed restrictions. Areas for overtaking can reduce drivers need to overtake on areas where oncoming traffic is a risk and it will help to reduce traffic back-log. The passing lane on Kaingaroo hill is testament to this, after the extensive road changes some 15+ years ago the number of accidents have dropped dramatically and the passing lane has also reduced traffic congestion. A possible location for a new passing lane could be Kareponia hill as there is already a large shoulder, and there is room to cut into the hill before reaching private boundaries.</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>To conclude, I can agree that Kareponia village has cause for a safer speed limit, however 60kph is excessively low, I think 80kph would be a more appropriate limit and perhaps subject to review in 12 months' time. As for the rest of the proposal I think it is unnecessary, especially in when considering the causes of the 3 fatalities. Drivers who drive illegally are already breaking the law, what is a speed sign going to do to change such behaviours? All that this will achieve is slowing down both commercial and private traffic users which will increase congestion and create greater motivation to take risk after drivers are out of the limited area. I do believe that alternative measures are possible that can reduce risk and congestion.</p>
13	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	How ridiculous. How many more mistakes is this government planning to make?
14	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<p>Can you give me written copies of all the talks you have had with Community, Police etc.</p> <p>I have lived at [REDACTED] I have not been talked to invited to any discussion on this matter.</p> <p>I will complete the submission as requested, I feel reasonably confident to say that speed restrictions will not make the slightest bit of difference. unless is is going to be ruthlessly policed.</p> <p>The strip of road in front of my property, from church road to the brow going down toward Awanui is a race track. Even with the "no passing lines" drivers are still overtaking.</p> <p>It seems that the section of road, SH 2, heading toward the Coromandel works. 90 km hour, Cameras and a reasonable police presence. I travel this section of road regularly and the 90 km is a good pace, it would seem for most drivers.</p> <p>Why not a fixed speed of 80 km, reduced to 60 through Kaingaroo and past the school, and 60 from the Kariponia bridge to maybe 5818 SH10, 730 am until 830, (school bus times).</p> <p>Just some thoughts.</p>

15	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<p>Dear folks</p> <p>I agree with the three proposed changes/reduction in speed limit on Northland roads. I am appalled with the tail gating, speed and dangerous passing of another vehicle by motorists, including truck drivers especially on state highway 1 and 10!</p> <p>Anything you can do to reduce current speed habits and to promote considerate, patient driving is good.</p> <p>As a nurse and someone who has worked overseas, the disrespect and carnage on NZ roads seems profound.</p> <p>Perhaps if the Canadian law whereby pedestrians and cyclists have right of way over vehicles was brought in, making it a punishable offence with pedestrian or cyclist ALWAYS in the 'right', respect from motorists might prevail.</p> <p>Your proposals are supported and are necessary.</p>
16	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<p>[attached submission]</p> <p>As previously stated SH10 Awanui to Kaingaroa does not need any speed restrictions.</p> <p>There's nothing wrong with the current speed.</p> <p>People should drive to the conditions. We've driven this stretch of road for 30 years twice a day with no problems. The crashes are all driver error and we should not all be penalised for this. If you must put a restriction in, the ONLY place possibly would be the #4 Kareponia SH10 to 80km/h.</p> <p>NOT the whole way pretty much to Zidich Rd area.</p> <p>Some places you can't do 100km/h anyway.</p> <p>Please support the normal drivers from not being penalised.</p> <p>As per attached submission form I am against the changes.</p> <p>I am not supporting these changes in any way, as there's nothing wrong with the road.</p> <p>I've been driving this road for 30 years twice a day and have had no issues.</p> <p>My friend & another person I know if were involved in accidents/fatality around Kareponia, but this was due to driver error, not the roads fault. They would've happened even if there were restrictions.</p> <p>The only place that may need a change is Kareponia to 80 km/h, definitely not 60 km/h.</p> <p>It has been worse since placing the double yellow lines for an unnecessary length in this area, causing drivers to become frustrated waiting so long to be able to get past a slower driver.</p> <p>Please do not punish the normal drivers that have no problems with this part of the Highway, for a very minor few who have done so with crashes/fatalities.</p>
17	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<p>I am opposed to these changes!</p> <p>Having 6 speed changes in such a short distance is ridiculous.</p> <p>This is a State Highway. It is not a built up area. It is a decent road with good visibility!</p> <p>Suitable fencing & formed pullover areas would help keep the residents safe if this is the issue and reasons for the proposed speed changes.</p> <p>22.11.19</p>

18	National Road Carriers Association (INC)	All 6 speed change locations	<p>INTRODUCTION</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. National Road Carriers (NRC) welcomes the opportunity to make a submission on the proposed speed reviews Moerewa to Kawakawa, Awanui to Kaingaroa, Puketona to Paihia. 2. NRC is a New Zealand Road Transport organisation and an affiliate member of Road Transport Forum NZ, representing 1513 members. Some 85% of NRC's membership comprises single vehicle operators and 95% employ 10 or less and all members expect their views to be highlighted in this Submission. 3. The NRC and RTF are dedicated to working for and with members to achieve continual improvement in all aspects of the industry including safety, recruitment, retention of staff, compliance, profitability and professionalism. <p>SUBMISSION</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. The focus of the submission is on feedback raised by members. 5. NRC and RTF strongly support's road safety and interventions where all options are well considered and make a difference. 6. NRC have some concerns that there is a blanket approach of reducing speed limits around the country on the State Highways. 7. Concerns raised by members is the lowering of speed limits is an easier option than investing in fixing the infrastructure network that is in a poor state. 8. NRC is concerned that there will be an increase in these speed reviews on State Highways where other measures should be taken into consideration. <p>COMMENTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Puketona to Paihia is an area that has seen an increase in population and necessitates a lower speed limit due to the road undulation in areas and road design. <p>NRC approves the proposed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Awanui to Kaingaroa <p>NRC sees no reason disapprove of the proposed to lower speed limits through Kaingaroa township.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Moerewa to Kawakawa <p>NRC does not accept the proposed and would like to see other measures put in place in terms of road safety.</p> <p>This stretch of road has poor alignment, is built on a swamp and reducing the speed limit is not going to fix the problem. Fatalities will still occur at 80km/h, this whole area requires addressing with regards to the bridges, design and maintenance.</p>
----	--	------------------------------	--

19	Automobile Association - Northland	All 6 speed change locations	<p>OVERVIEW - GENERAL COMMENTS:</p> <p>(i) It is inappropriate to lower the speed limit to 60 k/h on state highways wherever there is a small village development with no commercial zone. In general, 60 k/h is not self-explanatory in these circumstances, particularly when the quoted average speed is 80-84 k/h, and the 85th percentile would be even higher.</p> <p>(ii) Taipa to Awanui has a high personal risk but only a medium collective risk (KiwiRAP ratings).</p> <p>(iii) The Speed Management Guide notes: "If a corridor has a safe and appropriate speed that is lower than the posted speed limit based on personal risk alone, then safety measures other than speed management may be more appropriate." There could well be situations along this route where 'engineering up' is more appropriate than speed reductions.</p> <p>(iv) It is noted from 'Street View' on Google Earth that there are stretches of significant tar bleed which would be extremely slippery when wet. An adequate standard of maintenance is a key requisite before other interventions are considered.</p> <p>(v) Mega Maps show the safe and appropriate speed from Kumi Road, Awanui to Pukewai Road is 80 km/h. Is it now NZTA policy to adopt an even more conservative approach than indicated by Mega Maps? Is this consistent with the principles of the Speed Management Guide?</p> <p>(vi) From the extended 50 k/h area east of Awanui to Pukewai Road should be lowered to no less than a uniform 80 k/h, with variable speed limits around schools and Kohanga Reo as recently announced by the government.</p> <p>(vii) Section by section, our detailed responses are as follow:</p> <p>What we're now proposing</p> <p>1. Existing 100km/h area from 455m southwest of Pukewhai Rd to 610m northeast of Duncan Road. (Current speed limit 100km/h; proposed new speed limit 80km/h; current average speed 80-84km/h.)</p> <p>Submission SUPPORT, given this includes two 65 k/h signed curves. 80 is an appropriate speed as evidenced by the current average speed. Distance approx. 2 km.</p> <p>2. Existing 100km/h area through Kaingaroa from 610m northeast of Duncan Road to 360m southwest of Duncan Road. (Current speed limit 100km/h; proposed new speed limit 60km/h; current average speed 80-84km/h.)</p> <p>Submission OPPOSE A REDUCTION TO 60 BUT SUPPORT A REDUCED SPEED LIMIT TO 80, with a variable speed limit at Kaingaroa School, as is currently being addressed by the government. At other times, there is insufficient development to warrant such a reduction. The road has wide sealed shoulders and a pedestrian footpath in parts, and 60 is not a self-explained speed as evidenced by the current average speed of 80-84. "Engineering up" options should be considered, such as:- pedestrian centre refuges at pedestrian crossing points (if any); extension of existing footpath on the western side of Duncan Road, as far as Kaingaroa School on the eastern side of Duncan Road; provide a pedestrian crossing over the Kaingaroa River; and upgraded intersection design at Duncan Road to accommodate turning traffic (see note above from Speed Management Guide). Distance approx. 1 km.</p> <p>3. Existing 100km/h area from 360m southwest of Duncan Road to 570m southwest of Pairatahi Road. (Current speed limit 100km/h; proposed new speed limit 80km/h; current average speed 80-84km/h.)</p> <p>Submission SUPPORT for several minor intersections with no central right-turning refuge; 75 k/h signed bend; slow vehicle lane; restricted visibility at crests of hills. Consistent with current average speed. ALSO SUPPORT for consistency. Distance approx. 2 kms.</p> <p>4. Existing 100km/h area through Kareponia from 570m southwest of Pairatahi Road to 300m west of Godinovich Road. (Current speed limit</p>
----	---------------------------------------	------------------------------	--

			<p>100km/h; proposed new speed limit 60km/h; current average speed 80–84km/h.) Submission OPPOSE A REDUCTION TO 60 BUT SUPPORT A LOWERED SPEED LIMIT TO 80. Over the distance of about 3 km as proposed, development is relatively sparse and intermittent. The development cannot be compared, say, with the 60 k/h zones through Kaiwaka or Warkworth. It does not look like a 60 k/h road, given that the current average speed is 80-84 k/h. “Engineering up” options should be considered (see note above from Speed Management Guide) where there may be a localised safety issue, such as pedestrian centre refuges at pedestrian crossing points (e.g. at kohanga reo); upgraded intersection design to accommodate turning traffic; variable speed limit at kohanga reo as proposed for rural schools, or flashing “Slow Down” signs during morning and afternoon start and finish times.</p> <p>5 Existing 100km/h area from 300m west of Godinovich Road to 405m west of Kumi Road. (Current speed limit 100km/h; proposed new speed limit 80km/h; current average speed 80–84km/h.) Submission SUPPORT given the restricted line-of-sight on some bends and hillcrest. Distance approx. 1.1 km.</p> <p>6 Extending the existing 50km/h area by 180m east of Awanui. (Current speed limit 100km/h; proposed new speed limit 50km/h; current average speed 80–84km/h.) Submission SUPPORT, given the extent of development.</p>
--	--	--	---

20	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<p>The mind boggles at how these proposed new speed limits have been thought up.</p> <p>From the original submissions it was VERY clear that the majority of people thought that the speed limits DIDN'T need decreasing, other than in the main populated area of Kareponia. How did the minority suddenly get listened to??</p> <p>I cannot start to understand how you're proposing to turn perfectly fine 100kph areas into 60kph areas, when people already drive 80kph on these stretches of road, now we'll have to put up with them driving 40kph. Unbelievable.</p> <p>Extending the 50kph East of Awanui – fine</p> <p>It should then turn to 100kph – through till Kareponia Hill</p> <p>Then to 80kph through the Kareponia settlement ONLY</p> <p>Back to 100kph right through till Taipa.</p> <p>Kaingaroa isn't even a town!! Next thing it'll be 60kph right the way to Kerikeri?</p> <p>What you're doing is slowing the Far North down. What used to be a 20minute trip to Taipa from Kaitaia will become a 30minute trip – x number of people on the road = wasted years of time.</p> <p>Please – consider the stupidity of these new speed limits.</p> <p>Cars are 100x better than they used to be, more safe, more reliable. I think we're all getting a bit soft?</p>
----	----------------------	------------------------------	--

21	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<p>Proposed safer speed limits for SH10 Awanui to Kaingaroa</p> <p>NZTA's proposed changes to 60km/hr past the Kohanga Reo and the school are acceptable but should be limited to applying only during advised times. For example, 8am to 9am and 2.30pm to 3.30pm, to align with school start and finish times and not applicable during school holidays. There is no reason to have the 60km/hr speed limit at any other time, as the Kareponia Kohanga Reo and the Kaingaroa School are both considerably off the road. There is not a "crossing" at the Kohanga Reo, as there is only a drain and paddocks across the road. Installing a footpath from the Kohanga Reo to the Kareponia settlement may be of benefit to safety but frankly I have never seen anyone walking that stretch of road – EVER. There is high visibility both ways for buses turning out of the Kohanga Reo, so a 60km limit, at advised times only, would be more than sufficient.</p> <p>However, for the remainder of the 8kms, lowering the speed to 80km/hr is not acceptable. The extra 85 seconds travel time you have stated, is not a true reflection of a realistic journey from Awanui to Kaingaroa. A local can travel this road at 100km/hr without difficulty and therefore lowering the limit to 80km/hr, will have much more of an impact than 85 seconds. This stretch of highway is perfectly safe for the majority of road users. Lowering the speed limits on a STATE HIGHWAY, should be a last resort, not a go-to option to save NZTA from spending our road taxes. Twenty first century options should be implemented as NZTA's preferred method first. Such as widening and straightening the road, installing passing lanes and footpaths. There is after all the budget for such work. After a little digging, it appears that NZTA have been underspending the budgeted amounts they have been allocated. If I am reading the government's online financial statements right, in 2018 NZTA underspent their budgeted funds by 18%, or \$1b and for 2019 25%, or \$2.8b. There appears to be a trend to spend less of the allocated budgeted monies – why? It is not NZTA's money, it has been contributed by road users and should therefore be invested back into improving our roads. So where has this extra money gone and why is it not being spent on our roads?</p> <p>I previously made a submission against the lowering of the speed limit on SH10 Awanui to Taipa and the majority of my concerns made in that submission still stand for NZTA's current speed proposal.</p> <p>A recap of my previous proposal, which I have amended to reflect this changed stretch of highway –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The 100km speed limit is appropriate for this stretch of State Highway. I have lived here my entire life and "responsible" locals do not have a problem using this road. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Locals actually pay for this stretch of road through our HUGE road taxes and we will definitely not be getting value for our road taxes by a reduction in the speed limit o NZTA has a responsibility to invest our road taxes in a form that will be useful to the road users who pay these taxes – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ? Widening roads and fog lines ? Realigning sharp corners ? Creating passing lanes – there is only one on this stretch of road o Do not waste our taxes and lengthen our commute times on pointless endeavours, such as lowering the speed limit on a non-problematic stretch of highway, which can only, at best, be viewed cynically as blatant revenue gathering through speed enforced infringements o As a State Highway, NZTA has a responsibility to maintain this stretch of highway to not only allow for safety but also productivity o Your comment "People in your community have told us that the speed limit on the stretch of road on SH10 between Awanui and Taipa feels too high to be safe" – have you considered the following? – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ? Inexperience – are the drivers competent to drive on an open road, or are they only used to driving at a much lower speed around an urban area? ? Not local – are tourists visiting the Far North familiar with driving on the open road, or is their only experience driving on motorways? If they are not, then being confronted with a single lane to drive on, would be daunting no matter what the speed limit is ? Improper use of the vehicle – are the drivers of the crashes you make reference to, under the influence of drugs or alcohol? Are they unlicensed? Changing the speed limit will have a 0% impact on these occurrences o The Kareponia Kohanga Reo is beside the Kareponia Marae, with both being situated approximately 100mtrs off the road, with a large metalled car park between them and the road o There has always been ample room for buses and cars to exit SH10, park approximately 100mtrs off the road, pick up the children, turn around and exit back onto SH10. So what is the problem? o I fail to understand why children would be crossing the road at this point, as there is only a drain across the road. A much "safer" approach would be for NZTA to install a footpath on SH10, from the Kohanga Reo up Kareponia Hill to where the houses are. This would make much more sense and should fall under NZTA's safety agenda, surely? o Lowering the speed limit will not address any of the above issues and I suspect that your statement does not represent anywhere near the full picture. Instead you have presented a very one dimensional statement, with the intention of people accepting it at face value only. A
----	----------------------	------------------------------	--

			<p>20km speed reduction will have consequences for the commercial sector, which contributes a huge amount of money to NZTA's coffers, therefore NZTA has a responsibility to fully and correctly represent any "facts" they put forward to the general public. You have not done this and instead have made six very bland statements, without any supporting evidence and that's it! This is nowhere near good enough and NZTA needs to do better</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o The cars that I have seen crashed on the side of the road on SH10, have been stolen and taken for a joy ride. So what impact would lowering the speed limit by 20kms have on these crashes? NONE!, they would still happen o So stop inconveniencing the law abiding drivers. NZTA should instead look at making sure that licensing is more robust and includes open road safe driving o It is actually a very safe road to drive on, if driven competently. I know a lot of locals who travel this stretch of road multiple times a day and not one of them are complaining about the 100km speed limit. So who is? o As a tourist destination, we have a significant number of drivers (tourists) who do not know the road and are not used to driving on the open road. Changing the speed limit will not make them better drivers, they will only drive even slower o Lowering the speed limit will cause frustration for locals, who have to meet productivity deadlines, or work commitments. The distances involved that trucks up North have to travel and the allowable hours they have to travel in, is already stretched to the maximum. Holding them up on this stretch of highway will only make it harder for them to reach their destinations legally o Passing lanes would allow locals, who are more familiar with the road, to pass slower moving tourists safely o We deserve to have our 45% approximately, of road tax, applied to upgrading our roads, not downgrading. What a cop out by NZTA! <p>In addition –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NZTA should take notice of the NZ Herald article 18/6/19 where only 3% of tourists passed the theory driving exam. What action is NZTA taking to address this very worrying percentage? • If NZTA's focus is truly on safety, then they need to address the following – o Upgrading our roads to meet the increased demand from our growing population and the tourists that travel from the Bay of Islands, on this road, to reach Cape Reinga o More stringent testing of drivers to include open road driving and implementing a licensing regime that reflects this ability o A serious overhaul of tourist's capabilities for driving on our roads and denying them the ability to hire rental cars, or buy them, if they have never driven on a single carriageway before. They should instead be required to take organised coach trips. If a tourist intends to drive in NZ, then they should have to prove their driving competency, the same as very other licensed driver in NZ.
22	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<p>I have lived at this address for over 30 years and have attended many accidents that should have not happened for god sake bring in these changes now. Why has it taken this long?</p> <p>Rush hour traffic density makes many driveways (Obscured vision like mine) a virtual death trap for residents coming and going at these busy times. In my 30 years, i have seen traffic numbers increase 10 fold, minimum think of the future and innocent victims.</p>
23	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<p>The proposed speed limit is unjustified. I have live in this area for 35 years and more I have more dangerous driving bag people going pass slow moving vechile.</p> <p>The speed limit of 100km has been a safe speed for 40 years plus to make the road more dangerous by making people go slow is not good.</p> <p>The low is in place for vehicle have to slow down around school bus. Most people who speed don't care about speed limits.</p> <p>The speed limit must be left at 100km</p>
24	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<p>This proposal is absolutely stupid, so many changes for speeds!!!</p> <p>By the Kaingaroa, how about a sign like they have by Oruiti School!!!! Not against it been a lower speed by Kaingaroa school and the corner heading towards Zidich road that needs something . Kareponia hill double yellow lines and the flashing sign with speed is great, maybe flashing light like by Oruiti on the stretch where the kids catch the bus.</p>
25	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<p>Absolutely ridiculous. Do you want more accidents?</p>

26	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<p>Properly justified at Kareponia.</p> <p>This many speed changes would results in driver frustration and be a goldmine for fines and then trucks approaching Kaingaroa Hill would be in low gear at the top and on to Pairatahi causing more problems then at present.</p>
27	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	Crazy hard enough to get past slow vehicles safely know without speeding.
28	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Essential for clear signage - Patrol officers allow for getting accustomed to - Review in 5-10 years? - Road maintenance for state highways be monitored RE: Standard of repair and length of time before work carried out - I.E: Kaitaia port office (Commerce st) Griggs corner & intersection. <p>**RThis survey is great - intuiting comment - most people talk about it but do not inform authority!!</p>
29	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<p>I have emailed "northland.speed.reviews@nzta.govt.nz" with some of my thoughts.</p> <p>Also request info about discussions had??</p> <p>Your proposal for the 60km, west of Kumi Road to east of church road is crazy.Yes to speed reduction from Karipoinia Bridge (Bottom of hill west side) to top of hill, about 5818 SH10, I could see, going past the settlement, but why rest. Goings up hill is easy drive but these are children catching/ leaving bus's. This is a mandatory 40km's hour anyway.</p> <p>Cameras!!</p> <p>Why are these not an option, easy fix? That once just south of Kawi, north Whangarei seems to have had the desired effect. To me a noticeable reduction in speed.</p>
30	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	(No Comment)
31	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1: Authories for access to limited access Road. The cancelling & re-allocating of D3C1. Authoriations to access SH10 2: I want to know circumstances crossing point 101 was ?????? for D31, D32A & D3C2B 3: What propoerty was registered with 102. Crossing point onwardly present. 4: I believe because of political reasons the access for D3C1 had been closed wthout consultation. 5: I enclose a map clearly showing that row while physically still in use does not exist on plans <p>Please advise [REDACTED]</p>

32	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<p>I am apposed to these changes!</p> <p>Having 6 speed changes in such a short distance is ridicules.</p> <p>This is a state highway. It is not a built up area. It is a decent road with good visibility!</p> <p>Suitable fencing & formal pull over areas would help keep the residents safe if this is the issues and reasons for the proposed speed changes.</p>
33	Individual submitter	All 6 speed change locations	<p>LEAVE THE SPEED LIMITS ALONE!!!</p> <p>Build bus bays both sides of road at Karepona. Make the bus pick & drop off children on the right side of the road. Build footpaths.</p> <p>I travel this road up to 10 times a day in my job & have never seen people walking Kareponia Hill. Kaingaroa has foothpaths, ample road width for vehicles pulling to side to tun & large pull off at school. Buses don't drop off at road and parents arn't permitted to drop off at road. Leave limit alone.</p> <p>Trucks are going to be a Hazard because of ridiculous slow approach speeds to hills road and motorists will take risks passing them, tailbacks will be longer.</p> <p>Fix the problem at Karaponia but not by just putting up another sign that speeders & the minority will ignore. You are penalizing the majority because of the minority.</p> <p>80-84 average - tells you the majority are driving at sensible speeds.</p>
34	Fire and Emergency NZ	All 6 speed change locations	<p>As area manager for FENZ in Muri Whenua, I support the proposed changes to the speed limits as outlined in your proposal. I am interested to see what the public feedback would be to the differing speeds one would encounter travelling this road corridor. 6 alterations of speed until the 100km speed limit which carries you through to Taipa.</p> <p>The stretch of road had been designed for 100km so there will need to be some extensive rehabilitation to change the drive appearance and make 60km more acceptable to the public.</p>
35	Individual submitter	Location 1	DONT
36	Individual submitter	Location 2	DONT
37	Individual submitter	Location 3	DONT
38	Individual submitter	Location 4	DONT
39	Individual submitter	Location 5	DONT
40	Individual submitter	Location 6	DONT
41	Individual submitter	Location 1	It is fine how it is. It will make no difference, what is the point
42	Individual submitter	Location 2	That is going to cause so much frustration. Going from 100 to 60 is ridiculous. Going to add in so much time on the commute to work.
43	Individual submitter	Location 3	Just leave it, it is fine how it is. It is just going to frustrate people
44	Individual submitter	Location 4	Once again it is going to frustrate going from 100 to 60 is ridiculous
45	Individual submitter	Location 5	Just don't do it
46	Individual submitter	Location 6	Just don't do it
47	Individual submitter	Location 1	i disagree with reducing the speed. Maybe build a special bus stop and shelter. For the rest of the day there is no problem, just before and after school. I drive past there every morning and see a parent looking after the kids who are all very well behaved and dont do dumb stuff in front of the traffic.

48	Individual submitter	Location 2	yes, at 2am driving home from night shift [REDACTED] there is no need to reduce speed, no one is on the road. please dont reduce speed.
49	Individual submitter	Location 3	yes as in last answer, please do not reduce speed. Educate the residents to take care of their kids and bus/car awareness education
50	Individual submitter	Location 4	get the residents to do car/bus traffic education and keep the speed limit the same, dont disadvantage the rest of us due to one settlement that probably are not ratepayers anyway.
51	Individual submitter	Location 5	no dont change the limit. educate the residents instead.
52	Individual submitter	Location 6	dont do it ! its surely just 15 mins before and after the school bus that is an issue, the rest of the day there is no problem.
53	Individual submitter	Location 1	Will cause road rage
54	Individual submitter	Location 2	Will cause road rage
55	Individual submitter	Location 3	Will cause road rage
56	Individual submitter	Location 4	Will cause road rage
57	Individual submitter	Location 5	Will cause road rage
58	Individual submitter	Location 6	Will cause road rage
59	Individual submitter	Location 1	What we know: You're misrepresenting the responses and you know it. STOP WHITEWASHING. STOP PUSHING YOUR OWN SPREADSHEET AGENDAS. LISTEN TO THE PEOPLE, AND LEAVE THE SPEED LIMIT AS IT IS.
60	Individual submitter	Location 2	What we know: You're misrepresenting the responses and you know it. STOP WHITEWASHING. STOP PUSHING YOUR OWN SPREADSHEET AGENDAS. LISTEN TO THE PEOPLE, AND LEAVE THE SPEED LIMIT AS IT IS.
61	Individual submitter	Location 3	What we know: You're misrepresenting the responses and you know it. STOP WHITEWASHING. STOP PUSHING YOUR OWN SPREADSHEET AGENDAS. LISTEN TO THE PEOPLE, AND LEAVE THE SPEED LIMIT AS IT IS.
62	Individual submitter	Location 4	What we know: You're misrepresenting the responses and you know it. STOP WHITEWASHING. STOP PUSHING YOUR OWN SPREADSHEET AGENDAS. LISTEN TO THE PEOPLE, AND LEAVE THE SPEED LIMIT AS IT IS.
63	Individual submitter	Location 5	What we know: You're misrepresenting the responses and you know it. STOP WHITEWASHING. STOP PUSHING YOUR OWN SPREADSHEET AGENDAS. LISTEN TO THE PEOPLE, AND LEAVE THE SPEED LIMIT AS IT IS.
64	Individual submitter	Location 6	What we know: You're misrepresenting the responses and you know it. STOP WHITEWASHING. STOP PUSHING YOUR OWN SPREADSHEET AGENDAS. LISTEN TO THE PEOPLE, AND LEAVE THE SPEED LIMIT AS IT IS.
65	Individual submitter	Location 1	Longer travel time means increased driver fatigue.
66	Individual submitter	Location 2	This is not needed, it is a state highway. There is clear fields of views, the road is a safe road to travel at 100 km/h.
67	Individual submitter	Location 3	This is not needed, it is a state highway. There is clear fields of views, the road is a safe road to travel at 80 km/h.
68	Individual submitter	Location 4	(No Comment)
69	Individual submitter	Location 5	This is not needed, it is a state highway. There is clear fields of views, the road is a safe road to travel at 100 km/h.
70	Individual submitter	Location 6	This is not needed, it is a state highway. There is clear fields of views, the road is a safe road to travel at 100 km/h
71	Individual submitter	Location 1	No I am happy with that
72	Individual submitter	Location 2	No I am happy with that
73	Individual submitter	Location 3	No I am happy with that
74	Individual submitter	Location 4	No I am happy with that
75	Individual submitter	Location 5	No I am happy with that
76	Individual submitter	Location 6	No I am happy with that

77	Individual submitter	Location 1	That you need to consider upgrading the road
78	Individual submitter	Location 2	That you need to consider upgrading the road
79	Individual submitter	Location 3	That you need to consider upgrading the road
80	Individual submitter	Location 4	That you need to consider upgrading the road
81	Individual submitter	Location 5	That you need to consider upgrading the road
82	Individual submitter	Location 6	That you need to consider upgrading the road
83	Te Runanga-a-lwi o Ngati Kahu	Location 1	We support this change because of the winding, hilly nature of the road between these two points.
84	Te Runanga-a-lwi o Ngati Kahu	Location 2	We support this change because of the location of Kaingaroa School and several homes in this section, and the slower speed will make it safer for vehicles to enter and exit their driveways.
85	Te Runanga-a-lwi o Ngati Kahu	Location 3	We support this change because this is also a winding, hilly section of road.
86	Te Runanga-a-lwi o Ngati Kahu	Location 4	Wholeheartedly support this change because of the numerous homesteads, Kareponia Marae, Kohanga Reo and Church, several homebased ECEs and many bus stops and pedestrians on this section. Also we still see drivers overtaking on the double yellow lines or overtaking stationary school buses at high speeds - so it would be good to have median barriers on this section as well as footpaths.
87	Te Runanga-a-lwi o Ngati Kahu	Location 5	Wholeheartedly support this change too because of the number of homesteads and Mahimaru Marae located on this part of the road.
88	Te Runanga-a-lwi o Ngati Kahu	Location 6	We support this change as well because of the increased number of homes and businesses on this section of the road, and the likelihood that there will be further development as well.
89	Individual submitter	Location 1	Should be 80km/h from Pukewhai road all the way to Awanui. One constant speed so people are less confused.
90	Individual submitter	Location 2	No 60 is to slow. it should be 80 leaving awanui to the east all the way to pukewhai road.
91	Individual submitter	Location 3	it should be 80 leaving awanui to the east all the way to pukewhai road. 80 is a good speed to try for 2 years if we are finding this to fast then it can be changed again.
92	Individual submitter	Location 4	No 60 is to slow.. it should be 80 leaving awanui to the east all the way to pukewhai road. Changing the speed up and down is going to confuse people as per the change made in the cable bay to coppers beach area.
93	Individual submitter	Location 5	No 60 is to slow.. it should be 80 leaving awanui to the east all the way to pukewhai road.
94	Individual submitter	Location 6	Yes I agree to this change but again the signage and road making need to be clear as a lot of people miss the change of speed sign.
95	Individual submitter	Location 1	(No Comment)
96	Individual submitter	Location 2	No- I oppose this change. Can go down to 80km/h.
97	Individual submitter	Location 3	(No Comment)
98	Individual submitter	Location 4	(No Comment)
99	Individual submitter	Location 5	No- I oppose this change. Can go down to 80km/h.
100	Individual submitter	Location 6	No- I oppose this change. Can go down to 80km/h.
101	Individual submitter	Location 1	Support
102	Individual submitter	Location 2	Having the sleep limit change between 60 and 80 mulitple west of this section may be confusing for motorists and mean they miss a sign and travel at the wrong speed. I suggest everything from the start of this section to 50km zone in Awanui is the same 60 or 70 or 80
103	Individual submitter	Location 3	Having the sleep limit change between 60 and 80 mulitple west of this section may be confusing for motorists and mean they miss a sign and travel at the wrong speed. I suggest everything from the start of this section to 50km zone in Awanui is the same 60 or 70 or 80

104	Individual submitter	Location 4	Having the sleep limit change between 60 and 80 multiple west of this section may be confusing for motorists and mean they miss a sign and travel at the wrong speed. I suggest everything from the start of this section to 50km zone in Awanui is the same 60 or 70 or 80
105	Individual submitter	Location 5	Is this statement even correct? the map shows 80km/h. If it is 80 rather than the 60 it says in the above then this is the most confusing section and should be the same as the section to the east.
106	Individual submitter	Location 6	Support
107	Individual submitter	Location 1	It will be too slow for people that travel this route day in and day out. This will then in turn mean that these drivers will overtake in areas that may not be safe.
108	Individual submitter	Location 2	It will be too slow for people that travel this route day in and day out. This will then in turn mean that these drivers will overtake in areas that may not be safe.
109	Individual submitter	Location 3	It will be too slow for people that travel this route day in and day out. This will then in turn mean that these drivers will overtake in areas that may not be safe.
110	Individual submitter	Location 4	It will be too slow for people that travel this route day in and day out. This will then in turn mean that these drivers will overtake in areas that may not be safe.
111	Individual submitter	Location 5	It will be too slow for people that travel this route day in and day out. This will then in turn mean that these drivers will overtake in areas that may not be safe.
112	Individual submitter	Location 6	It will be too slow for people that travel this route day in and day out. This will then in turn mean that these drivers will overtake in areas that may not be safe.
113	Individual submitter	Location 1	No - agree with 'what we know'
114	Individual submitter	Location 2	No - agree with 'what we know'
115	Individual submitter	Location 3	No - agree with 'what we know'
116	Individual submitter	Location 4	No - agree with 'what we know'
117	Individual submitter	Location 5	No - agree with 'what we know'
118	Individual submitter	Location 6	No - agree with 'what we know'
119	Individual submitter	Location 1	Please leave it as it is
120	Individual submitter	Location 2	Please leave it as it is
121	Individual submitter	Location 3	Please leave it as it is
122	Individual submitter	Location 4	Please leave it as it is
123	Individual submitter	Location 5	Please leave it as it is
124	Individual submitter	Location 6	Please leave it as it is
125	Individual submitter	Location 1	What has be the cause of the accidents? All the ones I know of have been caused by drives not following the current road rules. This change will not affect this.
126	Individual submitter	Location 2	Why? I have hardly ever seen any people on or near the road on the stretch, the school kids don't go near or have to cross the road. I travel this road 2 to 4 times a day for over 12 years
127	Individual submitter	Location 3	Again accidents have been to poor road policing of current rules and regulations. More restrictions will not affect these people
128	Individual submitter	Location 4	Why? What accidents have happened with people following the current road rules? None that's how many. Better policing is needed not rules that still won't be followed by the few
129	Individual submitter	Location 5	Should be a variable speed zone, the only time there are any people on this road is a school bus time. Why can't they just get a proper bus stop instead of expecting the bus to stop at every drive way. This increases stress and strain in other road users.
130	Individual submitter	Location 6	Why not reduce the speed limit on every road that goes past a house? Because it is impractical. What crashes have happened here with cars following the current road restrictions

131	Individual submitter	Location 1	Fix the road. Camber and state of road surface with pot holes should show you the road is the issue..not the drivers. The money wasted on this badly designed road is diabolical. Fix it Do it once do it right!
132	Individual submitter	Location 2	Why. I can drive it at 80km to 90 km plus This area works leave it. There is no valid reason to change it.
133	Individual submitter	Location 3	The slow vehicle bay is an absolute asset to the safe passing of slow vehicles..and you want to put it at 80km?? The number of times I have gone to pass when "dawdle pants" is passing doing 80km without looking to see who else is behind him. When you get to the top it's madness when dawdle pants realises he has dangerously held up good folk by going at stupid speed. This is what you expect us to drive like? This shows no thought and health and safety on this stretch of road needs to be passable with 100km speeds. This is a brilliant passing area and 80km driving here is nuts..won't work!!
134	Individual submitter	Location 4	You allowed these houses to not have limited access so the issue is yours. Simple fix is to put a big barrier arm around the edge of the road to keep them in while we can safely drive past. Give them a service lane, but do not punish us by your mistake. A barrier arm would solve all problems.
135	Individual submitter	Location 5	Leave speed limit as it is please. No reason to change.
136	Individual submitter	Location 6	Doing this unables us good drivers, to get past slow vehicles we have been stuck behind. Here we have a chance to safely overtake and move on. Your proposal would cause bottle necks and more accidents with the stupid moving of 50km. Unless you put in a slow passing lane, then this is nuts.
137	Individual submitter	Location 1	Don't change anything... better driver education is the only answer.....
138	Individual submitter	Location 2	Don't change anything... better driver education is the only answer.....
139	Individual submitter	Location 3	Don't change anything... better driver education is the only answer.....
140	Individual submitter	Location 4	Don't change anything... better driver education is the only answer.....
141	Individual submitter	Location 5	Don't change anything... better driver education is the only answer.....
142	Individual submitter	Location 6	Don't change anything... better driver education is the only answer.....
143	Individual submitter	Location 1	(No Comment)
144	Individual submitter	Location 2	Should be at least 80kph otherwise there's going to be big jam ups on the passing lane up the hill, trucks won't be able to get a run up for the hill.
145	Individual submitter	Location 3	100kph is fine. Most people do 80kph along there now change it to 80 and they will slow down to 70. Causing road rage and overtaking dangerously
146	Individual submitter	Location 4	Will slow trucks up on the hill which hasn't got a passing lane again causing huge lines of traffic and angry drivers
147	Individual submitter	Location 5	Going to create road rage issues.
148	Individual submitter	Location 6	Road rage. Leave it as it is. It's irrelevant what the speed limit is. It can be 50 or 100, the people crashing aren't going to drive to the posted limit anyway. Just going to create congestion and road rage
149	Individual submitter	Location 1	(No Comment)
150	Individual submitter	Location 2	(No Comment)
151	Individual submitter	Location 3	(No Comment)
152	Individual submitter	Location 4	Backlog of traffic at 60k, are passing lanes for trucks being put in.
153	Individual submitter	Location 5	(No Comment)
154	Individual submitter	Location 6	The speed limit needs to be put in but the distance suggested is ridiculous, far too long. Commuters will become frustrated as travel time will increase. Are passing lanes planned for trucks travelling up hills.
155	Individual submitter	Location 1	I feel that 80 km/h be continued from Awanui, keeping the speed limit consistent.

156	Individual submitter	Location 2	I feel that 80 km/h be continued from Awanui, keeping the speed limit consistent.
157	Individual submitter	Location 3	I feel that 80 km/h be continued from Awanui, keeping the speed limit consistent.
158	Individual submitter	Location 4	I feel that 80 km/h be continued from Awanui, keeping the speed limit consistent.
159	Individual submitter	Location 5	I feel that 80 km/h be continued from Awanui, keeping the speed limit consistent.
160	Individual submitter	Location 6	I feel that 80 km/h be continued from Awanui, keeping the speed limit consistent.
161	Individual submitter	Location 1	It is NZTA's fault that the road has been made more dangerous due to appallingly bad design changes to this section of road, and inadequate maintenance of the road surface. The only recent death on this piece of road was someone texting while driving which is currently illegal and would most likely still have happened with your lower speed limits.
162	Individual submitter	Location 2	Given that there is excellent visibility in both directions from both Mill and Duncans Rds, and plenty of room on the edges of the road surface to pull off and or merge into traffic flow it would seem unnecessary to slow the traffic to that degree. I would consider an 80kph section through Kaingaroa to just past the Primary school to be a good safety measure.
163	Individual submitter	Location 3	there is an overtaking lane up that hill heading toward Kaitaia which will be much too short to be effective if you slow all the HGVs to 60kph through Kaingaroa!
164	Individual submitter	Location 4	The situation which has arisen here is entirely due to the residents building illegally and accessing illegally from a limited access highway. The only death I can recall there was a motorcyclist overtaking on a double yellow line at extreme high speed. Your proposal does nothing to overcome the underlying problems of unfenced houses using illegal road accesses, and or illegal speeding and overtaking.
165	Individual submitter	Location 5	A straight piece of road... are you guys smoking weed??
166	Individual submitter	Location 6	Knock your socks off ... I believe we are wasting our time responding to this nonsense as you have already made your very small minds up in your very expensive offices in fairy land and will follow political dogma over practicality yet again.
167	Individual submitter	Location 1	I support reduction
168	Individual submitter	Location 2	I support reduction
169	Individual submitter	Location 3	I support reduction
170	Individual submitter	Location 4	I support reduction
171	Individual submitter	Location 5	I support reduction
172	Individual submitter	Location 6	I support reduction
173	Individual submitter	Location 1	Definitely more police patrols To check speed.
174	Individual submitter	Location 2	Definitely more police patrols To check speed.
175	Individual submitter	Location 3	(No Comment)
176	Individual submitter	Location 4	Definitely signs would help
177	Individual submitter	Location 5	(No Comment)
178	Individual submitter	Location 6	Good idea
179	Individual submitter	Location 1	Leave the speed limit as it is the is minimal traffic and you will just stuff up the flow and use this as a revenue creating opportunity. Please make public the number of vehicles using the road as opposed to those involved in crashes.
180	Individual submitter	Location 2	on what rationale?? Why not drop to 80 kms
181	Individual submitter	Location 3	Why not drop to 80 kms
182	Individual submitter	Location 4	This is smacking of a revenue gathering exercise if the roads are not suitable for sustaining 100kms fix the roads
183	Individual submitter	Location 5	This is smacking of a revenue gathering exercise if the roads are not suitable for sustaining 100kms fix the roads
184	Individual submitter	Location 6	This is about the most sensible suggestion you have proposed

185	Individual submitter	Location 1	Leave the 100km alone.. agree around the more dense housing areas to drop to 80km or slightly lower.
186	Individual submitter	Location 2	You don't drive the road and until you have then perhaps you can make a better informed decision. Please provide us all with the number of submissions in support of the status quo !!
187	Individual submitter	Location 3	Leave the 100km alone.. agree around the more dense housing areas to drop to 80km or slightly lower.
188	Individual submitter	Location 4	Leave the 100km alone.. agree around the more dense housing areas to drop to 80km or slightly lower.
189	Individual submitter	Location 5	Leave the 100km alone.. agree around the more dense housing areas to drop to 80km or slightly lower.
190	Individual submitter	Location 6	Leave the 100km alone.. agree around the more dense housing areas to drop to 80km or slightly lower.
191	Maori Womens Welfare League - Mangataiore Branch	Location 1	(No Comment)
192	Maori Womens Welfare League - Mangataiore Branch	Location 2	(No Comment)
193	Maori Womens Welfare League - Mangataiore Branch	Location 3	(No Comment)
194	Maori Womens Welfare League - Mangataiore Branch	Location 4	being a little township of Kareponia on the hill, many children live there, that catch the buses there. There has been many accidents there that involve children being hit by cars going past stationary buses. 10 schools uses this roadway for the buses, and 10 kohanga reo also uses this road to pickup and drop off children, way to dangerous. There is a marae, kohanga reo and poipoi centre based between these roads.
195	Maori Womens Welfare League - Mangataiore Branch	Location 5	(No Comment)
196	Maori Womens Welfare League - Mangataiore Branch	Location 6	(No Comment)
197	Individual submitter	Location 1	Yes. No matter how slow the speed limit, idiots will always cause accidents.
198	Individual submitter	Location 2	Yes. No matter how slow the speed limit, idiots will always cause accidents.
199	Individual submitter	Location 3	Yes. No matter how slow the speed limit, idiots will always cause accidents.
200	Individual submitter	Location 4	Yes. No matter how slow the speed limit, idiots will always cause accidents.
201	Individual submitter	Location 5	Yes. No matter how slow the speed limit, idiots will always cause accidents.
202	Individual submitter	Location 6	Yes. No matter how slow the speed limit, idiots will always cause accidents.
203	Individual submitter	Location 1	Not necessary to change speed limit. what really needed is a passing bay up that hill. Double yellow lines mostly exist in the area.
204	Individual submitter	Location 2	no need to change. If u have to make it all 80 kph. definitely not to 60kph. Is not a built up area. only 4 houses. Already over spent with wide sealed pull off on both sides of the road and footpaths that are not used. School doesn't use the hall and only 7 houses in the entire stretch from the 610mm mark to the school. I grew up here.
205	Individual submitter	Location 3	This is stupid idea. a big hill with passing bay which will have no use if reducing speed to 80. Any changes should keeping the speed the same . ie. all 90kph . The intersection at Pairatahi road / church road is very dangerous turning off the statehighway from the east or west. needs a wider road verge just like the kaingaroa village from bottom of the hill to the school.
206	Individual submitter	Location 4	Do not change any speed 60 kph. 80 kph is sufficient. It is all double yellow lined already. Look into the legality of the housing and what are the NZTA registered access ways that should be used. How close the house are to the roadline, should the roadside boundary be fenced ? and whether u could introduce a internal road limiting access of those houses down to 1 or 2 . Stop allowing every house having accessways onto the SH . Every entrance should be to NZTA design ,. Thats something to .Also fencing the road boundary instead of the no fence approach thats presently there in some instances.

207	Individual submitter	Location 5	Disagree . keep speed limit the same 80kph. Residents have the ability to fence and gate their properties, be responsible for their family members movements. .
208	Individual submitter	Location 6	This would be ok . extending an existing speed limit. NOTE As there is no where that we can make comments i would like to add here. That the speed reduction may have a negative effect and will discourage the east coast, doubtless bay traffic coming to Kaitaia and encourage them to go east to shop at Waipapa/kerikeri. I know of no other areas in the north that has such restrictions. There is no speed limits on the SH one to the cape. There is no reduced speed limits like this when entering north or south of i Kaitaia There stretches of houses just north of kaitaia on the Awanui straight -Wireless road, Brots road to North park it is still 100mph down to 70 mph at kaitaia Tractors and not down to 50kph till u pass the north park intersection. It has not footpaths, passing or pullout bays from Kaitaia the main industry place at whangatane drive . When will you consult on this?? No Marae or schools here???
209	Individual submitter	Location 1	This entire proposal to reduce speeds through the stretch of road between Awanui and Kaingaroa is yet another example of poor planning on the part of NZTA and the Far North District Council. This proposal will set a precedent for the occupiers of other clusters of dwellings along SH 10 to propose similar speed reductions. When this occurs there will be a major push from transport operators, couriers and commuters to have bypass roads constructed in order to reduce travel times. An alternative would be to stop ribbon development along major roads. I realise this occurs because of the costs of developing at a distance from the highway (power, phone, roading and driveways etc) but if you build or buy alongside a busy stretch of road you forego the right to complain about traffic on said road. I am opposed to any reduction in speed limits on this stretch of highway. I travel this stretch of highway frequently in the course of my occupation and feel that the proposal is yet another example of a further cost on commuters (time and increased fuel consumption) because of a lack of foresight on the part of NZTA, FNDC and the occupiers of the dwellings along the proposed speed reduction area.
210	Individual submitter	Location 2	(No Comment)
211	Individual submitter	Location 3	(No Comment)
212	Individual submitter	Location 4	(No Comment)
213	Individual submitter	Location 5	(No Comment)
214	Individual submitter	Location 6	(No Comment)
215	Individual submitter	Location 1	totally unnecessary
216	Individual submitter	Location 2	too slow
217	Individual submitter	Location 3	unnecessary
218	Individual submitter	Location 4	far too slow
219	Individual submitter	Location 5	too slow. This is State Highway 10!!!
220	Individual submitter	Location 6	ok.
221	Individual submitter	Location 1	As a person that lives within the area of these 6 proposals, I would like to state that I have witnessed many traffic violations in this area, the majority of them would not be altered by the speed limit being changed, as these drivers have no regard for other people on the road, and a reduction in the speed limit, will not be adhered to by these people. A lot of them are in stolen vehicles, or with stolen number plates, so reporting them gets no results. It would be more productive to be trying to catch these people, and making our roads safer for others. Some of the accidents and deaths in this area, can be attributed to drugs or alcohol, there again, not the speed limit. The extension of the double yellow lines recently, is not being followed, as on a regular basis, I am passed by a vehicle on these lines, if you cant enforce that, what on earth makes you think reducing the speed limit will be enforced. This statement goes for all of these proposals.
222	Individual submitter	Location 2	As a person that lives within the area of these 6 proposals, I would like to state that I have witnessed many traffic violations in this area, the majority of them would not be altered by the speed limit being changed, as these drivers have no regard for other people on the road, and a reduction in the speed limit, will not be adhered to by these people. A lot of them are in stolen vehicles, or with stolen number plates, so reporting them gets no results. It would be more productive to be trying to catch these people, and making our roads safer for others. Some of the accidents and deaths in this area, can be attributed to drugs or alcohol, there again, not the speed limit. The extension of the double yellow lines recently, is not being followed, as on a regular basis, I am passed by a vehicle on these lines, if you cant enforce that, what on earth makes you think reducing the speed limit will be enforced. This statement goes for all of these proposals.

223	Individual submitter	Location 3	As a person that lives within the area of these 6 proposals, I would like to state that I have witnessed many traffic violations in this area, the majority of them would not be altered by the speed limit being changed, as these drivers have no regard for other people on the road, and a reduction in the speed limit, will not be adhered to by these people. A lot of them are in stolen vehicles, or with stolen number plates, so reporting them gets no results. It would be more productive to be trying to catch these people, and making our roads safer for others. Some of the accidents and deaths in this area, can be attributed to drugs or alcohol, there again, not the speed limit. The extension of the double yellow lines recently, is not being followed, as on a regular basis, I am passed by a vehicle on these lines, if you cant enforce that, what on earth makes you think reducing the speed limit will be enforced. This statement goes for all of these proposals.
224	Individual submitter	Location 4	As a person that lives within the area of these 6 proposals, I would like to state that I have witnessed many traffic violations in this area, the majority of them would not be altered by the speed limit being changed, as these drivers have no regard for other people on the road, and a reduction in the speed limit, will not be adhered to by these people. A lot of them are in stolen vehicles, or with stolen number plates, so reporting them gets no results. It would be more productive to be trying to catch these people, and making our roads safer for others. Some of the accidents and deaths in this area, can be attributed to drugs or alcohol, there again, not the speed limit. The extension of the double yellow lines recently, is not being followed, as on a regular basis, I am passed by a vehicle on these lines, if you cant enforce that, what on earth makes you think reducing the speed limit will be enforced. This statement goes for all of these proposals.
225	Individual submitter	Location 5	As a person that lives within the area of these 6 proposals, I would like to state that I have witnessed many traffic violations in this area, the majority of them would not be altered by the speed limit being changed, as these drivers have no regard for other people on the road, and a reduction in the speed limit, will not be adhered to by these people. A lot of them are in stolen vehicles, or with stolen number plates, so reporting them gets no results. It would be more productive to be trying to catch these people, and making our roads safer for others. Some of the accidents and deaths in this area, can be attributed to drugs or alcohol, there again, not the speed limit. The extension of the double yellow lines recently, is not being followed, as on a regular basis, I am passed by a vehicle on these lines, if you cant enforce that, what on earth makes you think reducing the speed limit will be enforced. This statement goes for all of these proposals.
226	Individual submitter	Location 6	As a person that lives within the area of these 6 proposals, I would like to state that I have witnessed many traffic violations in this area, the majority of them would not be altered by the speed limit being changed, as these drivers have no regard for other people on the road, and a reduction in the speed limit, will not be adhered to by these people. A lot of them are in stolen vehicles, or with stolen number plates, so reporting them gets no results. It would be more productive to be trying to catch these people, and making our roads safer for others. Some of the accidents and deaths in this area, can be attributed to drugs or alcohol, there again, not the speed limit. The extension of the double yellow lines recently, is not being followed, as on a regular basis, I am passed by a vehicle on these lines, if you cant enforce that, what on earth makes you think reducing the speed limit will be enforced. This statement goes for all of these proposals.
227	Individual submitter	Location 1	This piece of road is no worse than a lot of other stretches of our state highways so there is no need to reduce the speed limit. Leave it at 100km hour. There is one dangerous corner which has had a wire barrier put on it recently but remedial work needs to be done to fix the road camber to make it safer
228	Individual submitter	Location 2	This stretch of road has good visibility and road surface. Kaingaroa only consists of about 6 houses so there is no need to reduce the speed limit. An electronic sign by the school is all that's required to slow traffic during school hours. If the speed has to be reduced 80km an hour would be slow enough.
229	Individual submitter	Location 3	This is a good stretch of road with a good road surface and good visibility and fairly straight with only a few houses on it so leave the speed limit at 100 km hour. This is one of the few places vehicles can overtake and the hill out of Kaingaroa has one of the few passing lanes on SH10 on it where vehicles can overtake safely.
230	Individual submitter	Location 4	Kareponia is a small settlement so a speed of 60km hour is too slow. A more sensible speed would be 80km an hour like on the outskirts of Pukenui which has more housing development. Also putting in bus bays, footpaths or a slip road in front of the houses would also increase safety a lot. The Kohanga Reo vans should be dropping kids off at their doorsteps not across the road and there is no room for buses to turn as I can not turn our work van around there. The proposed distance of road for the speed reduction is also too long as there is no need for it to extend down the hill towards Awanui. The only area needing a speed reduction is the small village of Kareponia a distance of about 800 metres. If you extend the 60km area down the hill it is only going to make things more dangerous. There are no passing lanes on this hill and it has double yellow lines. Loaded trucks heading east struggle climbing this hill at the current speeds so if you slow them down more they will really be slow. With no passing lanes, cars will overtake on the yellow lines with the risk of a head on accident. Also to keep a speed of 60 going down this hill will mean vehicles having to ride their brakes and trucks using their air brakes which will be annoying. There are 2 maraes at the bottom of the hill but if they have fears of cars crashing into them put up some barriers. Most of the time there is no traffic using these exits plus there are lots of maraes with 100km hour road speeds out side them in the north [could always use electronic signs if there is a lot of vehicle movement such as tangis etc.] I suggest that the speed from the top of California Hill to the outskirts of Awanui remain at 100km hour.

231	Individual submitter	Location 5	No need to reduce this speed as it is a good road with not many houses. Leave At 100km
232	Individual submitter	Location 6	No problem with this as I got the council to do the same thing for our township
233	Individual submitter	Location 1	Not necessary. I drive this every day twice a day, limit is fine. This will cause problems and accidents.
234	Individual submitter	Location 2	This is a good idea. school zone...
235	Individual submitter	Location 3	NOT Necessary will cause accidents with impatient drivers. . !!! Not a good idea. This is one of the ONLY areas to safely pass the 60km per hour logging trucks.
236	Individual submitter	Location 4	Agree with this. Settlement needs a lower limit.
237	Individual submitter	Location 5	Ok, not really necessary, but ok.
238	Individual submitter	Location 6	Ok.
239	Individual submitter	Location 1	you put million dollar barriers in, now you want to lower the speed
240	Individual submitter	Location 2	before fixing the speed fix the roads and the two bridges, we are always awoken by trucks that go over them making a very loud banging crashing
241	Individual submitter	Location 3	if you must
242	Individual submitter	Location 4	Put a bus bay in there is enough room for that. I have never seen the parents of these kids waiting on the road with them until this all started
243	Individual submitter	Location 5	pathetic, you sit in your office in Wellington and have no idea of our roads here in the north and out of all the road crashes how many of them were drunk drivers or high on weed or using their cellphones
244	Individual submitter	Location 6	it is that speed isnt it
245	Individual submitter	Location 1	There are to many speed changes if you want to change the limit make it one limit the whole way ie 80km. Its a busy road especially with trucks and to slow down that often creates wear and tear on engines and brakes. The village at kareponia has nil fences and there are animals running on the road which could be a factor for some accidents. Or could we just leave it at 100km and put double yellow lines
246	Individual submitter	Location 2	There are to many speed changes if you want to change the limit make it one limit the whole way ie 80km. Its a busy road especially with trucks and to slow down that often creates wear and tear on engines and brakes. The village at kareponia has nil fences and there are animals running on the road which could be a factor for some accidents. Or could we just leave it at 100km and put double yellow lines
247	Individual submitter	Location 3	There are to many speed changes if you want to change the limit make it one limit the whole way ie 80km. Its a busy road especially with trucks and to slow down that often creates wear and tear on engines and brakes. The village at kareponia has nil fences and there are animals running on the road which could be a factor for some accidents. Or could we just leave it at 100km and put double yellow lines
248	Individual submitter	Location 4	There are to many speed changes if you want to change the limit make it one limit the whole way ie 80km. Its a busy road especially with trucks and to slow down that often creates wear and tear on engines and brakes. The village at kareponia has nil fences and there are animals running on the road which could be a factor for some accidents. Or could we just leave it at 100km and put double yellow lines
249	Individual submitter	Location 5	There are to many speed changes if you want to change the limit make it one limit the whole way ie 80km. Its a busy road especially with trucks and to slow down that often creates wear and tear on engines and brakes. The village at kareponia has nil fences and there are animals running on the road which could be a factor for some accidents. Or could we just leave it at 100km and put double yellow lines
250	Individual submitter	Location 6	There are to many speed changes if you want to change the limit make it one limit the whole way ie 80km. Its a busy road especially with trucks and to slow down that often creates wear and tear on engines and brakes. The village at kareponia has nil fences and there are animals running on the road which could be a factor for some accidents. Or could we just leave it at 100km and put double yellow lines
251	Oturu Marae	Location 1	(No Comment)
252	Oturu Marae	Location 2	Support the speed limit to 60km
253	Oturu Marae	Location 3	Support the speed limit to 80km
254	Oturu Marae	Location 4	Support the speed limit to 60km
255	Oturu Marae	Location 5	Support the speed limit to 60km
256	Oturu Marae	Location 6	Support the speed limit to 50km

257	Individual submitter	Location 1	Why not leave it as it is and just have a speed limit sign of 70 km/hour by the school as is the case for Oruaiti School on SH 10 just south of Mangonui. It would be much more logical. The majority of crashes on the whole section of road in this survey have been caused by impaired/dangerous drivers. Reducing the speed won't stop them
258	Individual submitter	Location 2	Why not leave it as it is and just have a speed limit sign of 70 km/hour by the school as is the case for Oruaiti School on SH 10 just south of Mangonui. It would be much more logical. The majority of crashes on the whole section of road in this survey have been caused by impaired/dangerous drivers. Reducing the speed won't stop them
259	Individual submitter	Location 3	This is a safe piece of road for any driver who is not impaired or driving dangerously. Any crashes on this section of road are likely to have been caused by impaired/dangerous drivers. Reducing the speed on this section won't stop them. We have been passed by vehicles doing at least 120 km/hr often on this piece of road so changing the speed limit won't stop them and only penalise people who have to travel regularly between Doubtless Bay and Kaitaia e.g. for work or shopping or for health services. How many crashes on this section have been caused by impaired/dangerous drivers and how many by 'normal' drivers? From what we have seen the biggest issue is policing of errant and impaired drivers. Imposing a one size fits all solution to try and control an uncontrollable few percent of irresponsible drivers seems heavy handed
260	Individual submitter	Location 4	Why not reduce it to 80 km/hr between the two existing "Reduce Speed" signs. That would make much more sense than cutting it to 60 km/hr for the entire proposed length. It is far too restrictive. There are plenty of examples of the 80 km/hr limit being used around the country. A 60 km/hr limit would only make people impatient and encourage dangerous driving. We regularly see drivers overtaking on the extended yellow lines that were put in place about a year ago because they are getting impatient. A 60 km/hr limit for such a long stretch would have a negative impact. The road is wide and straight enough for much of its length to warrant an 80 km/hr limit. How many crashes on this section have been caused by impaired/dangerous drivers and how many by 'normal' drivers? From what we have seen the biggest issue is policing of errant and impaired drivers. Imposing a one size fits all solution to try and control an uncontrollable few percent of irresponsible drivers seems heavy handed
261	Individual submitter	Location 5	On the hard copy document it says this section would be 80 km/hr, not 60 km/hr. If the latter is intended the answer to Q4 above would apply. It would be an unnecessary imposition. How many crashes on this section have been caused by impaired/dangerous drivers and how many by 'normal' drivers? From what we have seen the biggest issue is policing of errant and impaired drivers. Imposing a one size fits all solution to try and control an uncontrollable few percent of irresponsible drivers seems heavy handed
262	Individual submitter	Location 6	No real problem with this proposed change.
263	Individual submitter	Location 1	no
264	Individual submitter	Location 2	no
265	Individual submitter	Location 3	no
266	Individual submitter	Location 4	no
267	Individual submitter	Location 5	no
268	Individual submitter	Location 6	no
269	Te Hiku Community Board - Kaitaia subdivision, FNDC	Location 1	This is too slow. No one will take notice of it. There are hardly ever any pedestrians. Perhaps a few slippery when wet signs. The hill was camber and road was improved and this has made it safer over the years.
270	Te Hiku Community Board - Kaitaia subdivision, FNDC	Location 2	No one will slow down. This will just frustrate people and the crazy drivers will just overtake anyway. It has lots of visibility and width, why would you slow down traffic
271	Te Hiku Community Board - Kaitaia subdivision, FNDC	Location 3	Don't do it. Maybe make it a LSZ if you have to do something. No one will slow down because it makes no sense to slow down there. The school is mostly serviced by buses and the kids parents in terms of transport.
272	Te Hiku Community Board - Kaitaia subdivision, FNDC	Location 4	This is too slow. Can understand a 70km speed limit where the houses and kids are. the current flashing signs are weird, they don't tell you what the correct speed limit is. But make the 70km too long and you risk the impatient driver taking risks and overtaking. No way. too slow. the marae in this zone needs to use cones when it has hui, like the one in Pukepoto does to indicate tangi. To have this place a permanent 60 would be dumb.

273	Te Hiku Community Board - Kaitaia subdivision, FNDC	Location 5	Again this makes no sense. There are very few pedestrians - WHY???
274	Te Hiku Community Board - Kaitaia subdivision, FNDC	Location 6	Reduce to 70km/ allow people to ease down. at the moment 100 to 50 is too big a change.
275	Northland Transportation Alliance	Location 1	We support the proposed change to a 80km/h speed limit for this location
276	Northland Transportation Alliance	Location 2	We are not convinced a 60km/h permanent speed limit is needed outside the Marae (due to a lack of environmental prompts to slow vehicles down) – this would require engineering up (urbanising) to support the reduction in speed limit. We believe a variable speed limit (activated by the marae, administered by NZTA) would be a more targeted management strategy.
277	Northland Transportation Alliance	Location 3	We support the proposed change to a 80km/h speed limit for this location
278	Northland Transportation Alliance	Location 4	We support the proposed 60km/h speed limit where the houses are, but we believe it starts too close to Awanui. We suggest this 60km/h limit should start about house No. 5937 and end at house No. 5838 (approx.1.1km). This needs to be supplemented with gateway signage and urbanisation (engineering & environmental prompts to encourage appropriate behaviors), such as kerb & channel and footpaths. We suggest the current length of this 60km/h section is too long and is unlikely to be adhered to.
279	Northland Transportation Alliance	Location 5	We support the proposed with 80km/h limit but should be extended from house No. 6169 to house No. 5937 (approx. 2km). We believe the current proposed section is too short. The alternative option would be to make this section combined with section 4 all 60km/h – however we believe a 60km/h limit over this length is unlikely to be adhered to.
280	Northland Transportation Alliance	Location 6	We support the proposed extension of the Awanui 50km/h zone to approximately house No. 6169.
281	Individual submitter	Location 1	A school zone area outside Kaingaroa School should be the only required speed restrictions in this area. The school should have school electronic signage during drop off and pick up times - controlled from the school reception
282	Individual submitter	Location 2	A standard 100km speed limit is appropriate for this area
283	Individual submitter	Location 3	A standard 100km speed limit is appropriate for this area
284	Individual submitter	Location 4	A speed reduction limit of 80km is appropriate for the flow of traffic and design of this environment - this aligns with other similiar residential areas in the region
285	Individual submitter	Location 5	A standard 100km speed limit is appropriate for this area
286	Individual submitter	Location 6	The 50km area as is should remain
287	Individual submitter	Location 1	There is nothing wrong with this section of road!!!!leave as is
288	Individual submitter	Location 2	There is nothing wrong with this section of road!!!retain existing speeds
289	Individual submitter	Location 3	there is nothing wrong with existing speeds through here, there are thousands of people that have main road 100kph speed throughout NZ and they don't have any problem! accidents caused on this stretch of road have been caused by either alcohol or bad judgement, I have lived here for 30 years, personally I think there chickens are more of a hazard walking on to the road!!!!
290	Individual submitter	Location 4	there is nothing wrong with existing speeds through here, there are thousands of people that have main road 100kph speed throughout NZ and they don't have any problem! accidents caused on this stretch of road have been caused by either alcohol or bad judgement, I have lived here for 30 years, personally I think there chickens are more of a hazard walking on to the road!!!!
291	Individual submitter	Location 5	Keep it the same, this section of road is safe at 100
292	Individual submitter	Location 6	Unless awanui is growing that fast with 100 new houses, this doesn't need to be changed for now
293	Individual submitter	Location 1	leave it at 100kph or start/end the 80kph at Zidich rd. I cannot see the reason for changing this stretch of rd. It is not populated and there are no reasons why anyone traveling at 100kph would have trouble navigating it.

294	Individual submitter	Location 2	This road is hardly populated. If the area of concern is past the school then make it a variable speed limit to coincide with school timings.
295	Individual submitter	Location 3	What is the reasoning behind this? The 100kph limit works fine as it is.
296	Individual submitter	Location 4	Again why change the speed limit when the hours of concern are when children are going to/from school. Make it variable 60kph between 8am-9am and 3pm-4pm
297	Individual submitter	Location 5	As above.
298	Individual submitter	Location 6	Unless this is policed, then the people who do 100kph plus before the existing sign will still be doing it after you moved it. There is good visibility going in both directions so leave it as it is.
299	Paparore School	Location 1	A lot of residential homes along that stretch of road.
300	Paparore School	Location 2	A lot of children getting on & off the school buses along that stretch of road too.
301	Paparore School	Location 3	Not sure of that part?
302	Paparore School	Location 4	A great idea. Especially during school bus run hours
303	Paparore School	Location 5	A great idea. Especially during school bus run hours
304	Paparore School	Location 6	I think you need to consider the same speed as it's going to get confusing for drivers
305	Individual submitter	Location 1	Yes, reducing the speed limit is an easy fix for NZTA and won't make any difference to the accidents or deaths Realign the highway to straighten out the ugly corners on the hill Improve the road surface
306	Individual submitter	Location 2	Widen the road each side of the school to allow safer pull off Reduce the speed limit during school hours only (8 am to 9.00 am and 2.30 pm to 3.30 pm) with neon signs and leave it at 100 km/h outside of these hours
307	Individual submitter	Location 3	Absolutely no need to reduce the speed limit from 100km in this area.
308	Individual submitter	Location 4	Make it 80km and reduce the distance to 300 m each side of the built up area Research how many dwellings at Kareponia are compliant and how many road accesses are approved and don't include non compliant numbers in the data used to determine speed limits. Same rules should apply across all of NZ
309	Individual submitter	Location 5	Yes, use common sense and listen to locals that work No need to reduce the speed limit at all in this area
310	Individual submitter	Location 6	This is a sensible suggestion.
311	Individual submitter	Location 1	Yeah it's too slow
312	Individual submitter	Location 2	Too slow
313	Individual submitter	Location 3	Too slow
314	Individual submitter	Location 4	Too slow
315	Individual submitter	Location 5	Too slow
316	Individual submitter	Location 6	Too slow
317	Individual submitter	Location 1	The village in Kareponia should fence off their properties if they are so worried about their children. Bus stops are required with buses with flashing lights
318	Individual submitter	Location 2	Out of those crashes how many of them were drunk or high on drugs. This is going to slow the big logging trucks down causing road rage
319	Individual submitter	Location 3	How are you going to police this?, there is a passing lane are you taking that away as well?
320	Individual submitter	Location 4	The village in Kareponia should fence off their properties if they are so worried about their children.
321	Individual submitter	Location 5	Then you will see lot of crashes. 80km yes not 60kmn/
322	Individual submitter	Location 6	n/a
323	Individual submitter	Location 1	No point, maybe widen the road so a Turing medium strip and be put in.

324	Individual submitter	Location 2	Yes there is a school so maybe only should be 60kms when school is starting and finishing.
325	Individual submitter	Location 3	No, this is a good road.
326	Individual submitter	Location 4	People who live close to the road should be responsible for putting up fences to protect children and also meet children at the bus, not expect them to cross a main highway.
327	Individual submitter	Location 5	No
328	Individual submitter	Location 6	No, I agree with this, lots of tricks ect in and out of there.
329	Individual submitter	Location 1	The road is well signposted and I feel this is unnecessary. However, a safety barrier should be established for the sharp corner coming from Pukewhai Road, before the bottom of the hill heading to Awanui.
330	Individual submitter	Location 2	I do not agree, there is no businesses operating in this area, the garage is closed, the timber mill is closed down what is the rationale for this decision.
331	Individual submitter	Location 3	Our property access is on this stretch of road. We have lived here for 40 years, and over this time there has been perhaps 8 accidents. Hardly a high crash rate area. Again what is the rational for this proposal.
332	Individual submitter	Location 4	We object to this restriction, it is absolutely unnecessary. IN the 40 years I have lived nearby, I have only known of 2 accidents on this stretch of road. There are a group of Maori dwellings in this area, but if the rationale is to protect children from them from being run over, then pretty much most of NZ roads should be reduced to 60 km.
333	Individual submitter	Location 5	I do not agree.
334	Individual submitter	Location 6	The house movers move their houses at night. Again this is unnecessary, and they are moving premises to Kerikeri in the near future.
335	Individual submitter	Location 1	Not required. This is a safe stretch of road and current speed is appropriate. Consideration needs to be taken for the passing line going up the hill towards Awanui that stops on a blind corner. Now that is dangerous and probably lead to the accident rate.
336	Individual submitter	Location 2	School speed past the school and restricting/ lowering speed before and after school only
337	Individual submitter	Location 3	Not required
338	Individual submitter	Location 4	Not required
339	Individual submitter	Location 5	Not required
340	Individual submitter	Location 6	Not required
341	Individual submitter	Location 1	YES the Kareponia village and the children that catch the school buses from there.
342	Individual submitter	Location 2	YES the Kareponia village and the children that catch the school buses from there.
343	Individual submitter	Location 3	NO leave this alone
344	Individual submitter	Location 4	(No Comment)
345	Individual submitter	Location 5	this area is fine
346	Individual submitter	Location 6	sounds good.
347	Individual submitter	Location 1	I feel like there is nothing wrong with the speed now. People just need to slow down for buses and be careful. Those properties at Kareponia should put some fences up along State Highway 10 if they are concerned for their whanau. Its not a village its a group of houses - if we slowed down for every cluster of houses we would never get anywhere. And what about Taipa - that is a village - with a large school and it is still 60 through there, MAJOR traffic and obstacles. Get your priorities right.
348	Individual submitter	Location 2	I feel like there is nothing wrong with the speed now. People just need to slow down for buses and be careful. Those properties at Kareponia should put some fences up along State Highway 10 if they are concerned for their whanau. Its not a village its a group of houses - if we slowed down for every cluster of houses we would never get anywhere. And what about Taipa - that is a village - with a large school and it is still 60 through there, MAJOR traffic and obstacles. Get your priorities right.
349	Individual submitter	Location 3	I feel like there is nothing wrong with the speed now. People just need to slow down for buses and be careful. Those properties at Kareponia should put some fences up along State Highway 10 if they are concerned for their whanau. Its not a village its a group of houses - if we slowed down for every cluster of houses we would never get anywhere. And what about Taipa - that is a village - with a large school and it is still 60 through there, MAJOR traffic and obstacles. Get your priorities right.

350	Individual submitter	Location 4	I feel like there is nothing wrong with the speed now. People just need to slow down for buses and be careful. Those properties at Kareponia should put some fences up along State Highway 10 if they are concerned for their whanau. Its not a village its a group of houses - if we slowed down for every cluster of houses we would never get anywhere. And what about Taipa - that is a village - with a large school and it is still 60 through there, MAJOR traffic and obstacles. Get your priorities right.
351	Individual submitter	Location 5	I feel like there is nothing wrong with the speed now. People just need to slow down for buses and be careful. Those properties at Kareponia should put some fences up along State Highway 10 if they are concerned for their whanau. Its not a village its a group of houses - if we slowed down for every cluster of houses we would never get anywhere. And what about Taipa - that is a village - with a large school and it is still 60 through there, MAJOR traffic and obstacles. Get your priorities right.
352	Individual submitter	Location 6	I feel like there is nothing wrong with the speed now. People just need to slow down for buses and be careful. Those properties at Kareponia should put some fences up along State Highway 10 if they are concerned for their whanau. Its not a village its a group of houses - if we slowed down for every cluster of houses we would never get anywhere. And what about Taipa - that is a village - with a large school and it is still 60 through there, MAJOR traffic and obstacles. Get your priorities right.
353	Individual submitter	Location 1	Is this necessary? This is a good piece of Road
354	Individual submitter	Location 2	Reducing to 80km would be more acceptable
355	Individual submitter	Location 3	Is this necessary? This is a good piece of Road
356	Individual submitter	Location 4	This area is too long, I understand around the built up area, however there are long stretches of road and 60km is too slow in the area where there are only a few houses and most are well off the road. Even at 80km it will likely just become a 'revenue collection zone' for the authorities
357	Individual submitter	Location 5	as per answer in 4
358	Individual submitter	Location 6	agree
359	Individual submitter	Location 1	Dont do it, leave as is.
360	Individual submitter	Location 2	Leave as is. Proposal is ridiculous.
361	Individual submitter	Location 3	Idiots will be idiots no mater the speed limit. Leave speed current speed limits as is.
362	Individual submitter	Location 4	Stupid idea.
363	Individual submitter	Location 5	Everyone ive spoken to is against this idea of lowering the speed limits on STH10. Leave the limits alone.
364	Individual submitter	Location 6	Id like to know how many ny people in the Far North actually support the idea of lowering the speed limit.
365	Individual submitter	Location 1	Good idea. We drive much too fast up here.
366	Individual submitter	Location 2	Good idea. We drive much too fast up here.
367	Individual submitter	Location 3	Good idea. We drive much too fast up here.
368	Individual submitter	Location 4	Good idea. We drive much too fast up here.
369	Individual submitter	Location 5	Good idea. We drive much too fast up here.
370	Individual submitter	Location 6	Good idea. We drive much too fast up here.
371	Individual submitter	Location 1	No change necessary
372	Individual submitter	Location 2	Yes to past the school during school hours
373	Individual submitter	Location 3	No change necessary
374	Individual submitter	Location 4	Slow down past the stretch of houses only fair enough
375	Individual submitter	Location 5	No change necessary
376	Individual submitter	Location 6	Too many Impatient drivers when the speed limit is lowered

377	Individual submitter	Location 1	Not that I can think of.
378	Individual submitter	Location 2	Again, not that I can think of.
379	Individual submitter	Location 3	And for the third time, not that I can think of.
380	Individual submitter	Location 4	How long is the wait for the speed limit of 60km/h to come into play?
381	Individual submitter	Location 5	Again, How long is the wait for the speed limit of 60km/h to come into play?
382	Individual submitter	Location 6	No, I don't know of any other factors that you should consider when making your decision.
383	Individual submitter	Location 1	Not necessary. Leave at 100km/h
384	Individual submitter	Location 2	Not necessary. Leave at 100km/h
385	Individual submitter	Location 3	Not necessary. Leave at 100km/h
386	Individual submitter	Location 4	Not necessary. At the most reduce speed to 80km/h between the two electronic speed warning signs that are there now
387	Individual submitter	Location 5	Not necessary. Leave at 100km/h
388	Individual submitter	Location 6	Not necessary. Leave at 100km/h Perhaps police the idiot's on the road, both slow inconsiderate drivers and speeding dangerous drivers and do some decent repairs with our fuel and road tax money
389	Individual submitter	Location 1	Yes. That 9 serious/ fatal accidents in 10 years is nothing! I bet my farm 90%of those involved excess speed or alcohol. Therefore a reduced speed limit WILL NOT STOP the few dumbarses that overdo it. Get the roads up to scratch so 100kms is SAFE to travel at all the way. Not just the straights.
390	Individual submitter	Location 2	As above
391	Individual submitter	Location 3	This will not stop dumbarses from crashing.
392	Individual submitter	Location 4	80km would be more realistic.
393	Individual submitter	Location 5	(No Comment)
394	Individual submitter	Location 6	(No Comment)
395	Individual submitter	Location 1	this change is not necessary. the corners that are to be taken slower than the speed limit are already well sign posted.
396	Individual submitter	Location 2	this change is not necessary. There are very few dwellings here and no school children or business activities. The school is well off the road and children are not on the road. the kaingaroa bridge is poorly built and nzta should improve it. a speed reduction through kaingaroa will result in a lolly scramble for the passing lane on the hill and then brakes on full at the top.
397	Individual submitter	Location 3	why would you do this? 100kh/h is ok for this piece of road.is it because nzta is not capable of fixing the corner properly. now many decades does it take? and what about fixing urlich hill.
398	Individual submitter	Location 4	Why? this could have an electronic speed restriction either side of paparoas of 60k/h when the school bus is operating. the double yellow line already extends too far on both ends of the hill. you now have to wait for a restricted area to pass to overtake when the road ahead is clear. drivers often disregard these no passing lines. the lines are wrong. often on my way to work in kaitaia i may see one or two cars before awanui, sometimes none and no children. I am expected to crawl along in case some children may be sleepwalking?
399	Individual submitter	Location 5	this is a knee jerk reaction. not necessary. no advantage. no children no stock movements no reason.
400	Individual submitter	Location 6	Not necessary. the house movers are moving to waipapa and anyway their houses are moved at night.
401	Individual submitter	Location 1	Fix up the roads. Properly. Put in more passing lanes. Put in safety barriers between oncoming traffic. Why bother putting in two way lane bridges for traffic flow then lower speed limit
402	Individual submitter	Location 2	(No Comment)
403	Individual submitter	Location 3	Fix up the roads. Properly. Put in more passing lanes. Put in safety barriers between oncoming traffic. Why bother putting in two way lane bridges for traffic flow then lower speed limit

404	Individual submitter	Location 4	(No Comment)
405	Individual submitter	Location 5	(No Comment)
406	Individual submitter	Location 6	Are these above areas targeted where people have died?
407	Individual submitter	Location 1	you're money wasters. create and public educational action for drivers to raise their competence. people are "living" on fast lanes and don't know how to join like a zip, etc. lowering limits it's worst way of making impact. have no words for your silly, oversecure approach. just bunch of...
408	Individual submitter	Location 2	you're money wasters. create and public educational action for drivers to raise their competence. people are "living" on fast lanes and don't know how to join like a zip, etc. lowering limits it's worst way of making impact. have no words for your silly, oversecure approach. just bunch of...
409	Individual submitter	Location 3	you're money wasters. create and public educational action for drivers to raise their competence. people are "living" on fast lanes and don't know how to join like a zip, etc. lowering limits it's worst way of making impact. have no words for your silly, oversecure approach. just bunch of...
410	Individual submitter	Location 4	you're money wasters. create and public educational action for drivers to raise their competence. people are "living" on fast lanes and don't know how to join like a zip, etc. lowering limits it's worst way of making impact. have no words for your silly, oversecure approach. just bunch of...
411	Individual submitter	Location 5	you're money wasters. create and public educational action for drivers to raise their competence. people are "living" on fast lanes and don't know how to join like a zip, etc. lowering limits it's worst way of making impact. have no words for your silly, oversecure approach. just bunch of...
412	Individual submitter	Location 6	you're money wasters. create and public educational action for drivers to raise their competence. people are "living" on fast lanes and don't know how to join like a zip, etc. lowering limits it's worst way of making impact. have no words for your silly, oversecure approach. just bunch of...
413	Individual submitter	Location 1	This section of road is safe and should remain as is.
414	Individual submitter	Location 2	This section of road is safe and should be controlled by School Zoning, so when school is there is no reduction of speed limit.
415	Individual submitter	Location 3	This section of road is safe and the speed limit should not be reduced.
416	Individual submitter	Location 4	The road is safe, if drivers drove legally, pulled in and out of driveways, walkers walked off the road, cyclists cycled along the side of the road and buses had designated bus bays we have no problems.
417	Individual submitter	Location 5	This road is safe and the speed limit should not be lowered
418	Individual submitter	Location 6	I fully agree, this is a high activity area, with many traffic movements.
419	Individual submitter	Location 1	Lowering the speed limit here will cause greater frustrations and more stupid overtaking manoeuvres flouting the new speed limits resulting in more accidents potentially fatal
420	Individual submitter	Location 2	The only time this should be in place is during the start and end of school periods as a school zone
421	Individual submitter	Location 3	The only area where vehicles can safely overtake a heavy or slow vehicles between Lake Ohia and Awanui and you are going to remove its effectiveness
422	Individual submitter	Location 4	A lot of the housing in this area is both unconsented or permitted. SH10 is a limited-access highway why are you allowing so many illegal accesses. This area needs major redesign and consultation with limited access and service road
423	Individual submitter	Location 5	Why there is no need. LSD zone to cater for marae needs This is a major highway within a major growth area. there will be a significant increase in heavy traffic in the future. rethink this
424	Individual submitter	Location 6	This I approve of
425	Individual submitter	Location 1	Too slow. The road naturally slows vehicles down so 90kmh would be fine
426	Individual submitter	Location 2	Too slow. 80kmh is better. There's plenty of off road parking to drop off and pick up children from the school. Or use an electronic 60kph restriction for just before and after school as at Oraiti School to the west. Its a country road after all.
427	Individual submitter	Location 3	Great, lets remove the only passing lane we have on this road by lowering the speed limit to 80kmh. Obviously proposed by someone who doesn't regularly drive this section of road. Leave at 100kph.

428	Individual submitter	Location 4	I agree a speed restriction is required but this is ridiculous. Again removing passing opportunities is going to create worse driving habits, not better. 70 - 80kmh from 1.3km west of Pairatahi Road to 700m east of Godinvich Road is a more realistic reduction. I have never seen a child close to the road in this settlement, ever.
429	Individual submitter	Location 5	My only question is why? Its a country road not a growing metropolis. Get real people. If these proposals are put in place unchanged then it will be almost as quick to drive to Kerikeri so I can see Kaitaia suffering economically even more.
430	Individual submitter	Location 6	This is ok.
431	Individual submitter	Location 1	This is open road and there is no need to reduce the 100 km speed limit.
432	Individual submitter	Location 2	There is no need to change this speed limit as this is open road and this will slow the flow of traffic causing reckless overtaking.
433	Individual submitter	Location 3	There is a section of road that was rebuilt along this section approx 1km beyond Kaingaroa school heading east. The whole structure and shape that was built has caused so many accidents. The camber has been removed. This needs fixing, do not reduce the speed limit!!
434	Individual submitter	Location 4	I grew up on Kareponia hill and we were always taught to be wary of the road and I dont see the need to reduce the speed.
435	Individual submitter	Location 5	This is ridiculous, this will cause more reckless overtaking than happens now!! The majority of this road is straight and reducing the speed limit would be ludicrous.
436	Individual submitter	Location 6	Great idea
437	Individual submitter	Location 1	Traffic currently uses the wide road verge going up the hill towards Pukewhai Rd to pass slow traffic, please consider widening this into a proper passing lane. I oppose the reduction of speed limit to 80km along the stretch as it will slow down the response times of emergency vehicles.
438	Individual submitter	Location 2	There is no need for this to be 60km permanently, the stretch by Kaingaroa School should be designated a school zone during special hours. School and kohanga reo buses should be equipped with flashing lights to alert traffic.
439	Individual submitter	Location 3	Slowing traffic in this area will just lead to frustration and risky driving practises. People are more likely to travel safely in the Kaingaroa school and Kareponia Kohanga Reo zones if they have an opportunity to pass safely at 100km/h on the passing lanes. I oppose the reduction of speed limit to 80km along the stretch as it will slow down the response times of emergency vehicles.
440	Individual submitter	Location 4	Slowing traffic right down to 60km in this area will just lead to frustration and risky driving practises. I think Kareponia needs slip lanes and school and Kohanga Reo buses should be equipped with flashing lights to alert traffic. I oppose the reduction of speed limit to 80km along the stretch as it will slow down the response times of emergency vehicles.
441	Individual submitter	Location 5	Slowing traffic right down to 60km in this area will just lead to frustration and risky driving practises. I think Kareponia needs slip lanes and school and Kohanga Reo buses should be equipped with flashing lights to alert traffic. I oppose the reduction of speed limit to 80km along the stretch as it will slow down the response times of emergency vehicles.
442	Individual submitter	Location 6	I agree with the proposed extension of the 50km zone.
443	Individual submitter	Location 1	This road is an open road, there are no regular pedestrians and the surface & corners are no different to anywhere else on the State highway network. I see no need for reducing the speed limit in this area and it will only serve as an inconvenience to locals who travel this road every day for work.
444	Individual submitter	Location 2	This road is an open road intended as a state highway for vehicle traffic, I travel the road most days and very rarely see pedestrians in this area. Reducing the speed limit to 60km is a backwards move and will only cause more frustration and inconvenience for drivers who travel this road every day! Maybe 80kms would be OK but 60kms will feel ridiculously slow and frustrating!
445	Individual submitter	Location 3	This road is an open road, there are no regular pedestrians and the surface & corners are no different to anywhere else on the State highway network. I see no need for reducing the speed limit in this area and it will only serve as an inconvenience to locals who travel this road every day for work.
446	Individual submitter	Location 4	Consider making the road wider ...and people mostly travel at 10km less than the speed limit
447	Individual submitter	Location 5	This road is an open road, there are no regular pedestrians and the surface & corners are no different to anywhere else on the State highway network. I see no need for reducing the speed limit in this area and it will only serve as an inconvenience to locals who travel this road every day for work.
448	Individual submitter	Location 6	Yes this is the only suggestion that makes sense

449	Individual submitter	Location 1	It's not the designated speed that is the problem. It is the driver's who choose to drive dangerously, putting their lives and the lives of others at risk. Lowering the speed limit would not alter their behaviour for the better, and could even make it worse. The roads, in my opinion are well designed for the current speed limits. I have personally had someone overtake me and continue on at a speed of around 130km p/hr. I have to slow down for overtaking cars in oncoming traffic. I've had to sit behind people doing 20-40km under the speed limit (something that they wouldn't have been allowed to get their licence passed on). And there are many other cases of driving I haven't mentioned. Recently, someone posted a video on Facebook of someone deliberately driving on the wrong side of the road. I have seen similar driving happen a couple of times by cars in front of me. Lowering the speed limit does not solve idiot drivers. It just causes more frustration. I have driven around the Bay of Islands, out to Opononi, up to Kaitaia, down to Auckland, and beyond these places. The speed limit is fine. Also, 80km makes me tired and weary, where as 100km keeps me refreshed and alert. As I've only driven the section between Awanui and Taipa a couple of times, I do recall being thankful to have vehicles in front of me that made me more aware of some tight bends that were coming up.
450	Individual submitter	Location 2	It's not the designated speed that is the problem. It is the driver's who choose to drive dangerously, putting their lives and the lives of others at risk. Lowering the speed limit would not alter their behaviour for the better, and could even make it worse. The roads, in my opinion are well designed for the current speed limits. I have personally had someone overtake me and continue on at a speed of around 130km p/hr. I have to slow down for overtaking cars in oncoming traffic. I've had to sit behind people doing 20-40km under the speed limit (something that they wouldn't have been allowed to get their licence passed on). And there are many other cases of driving I haven't mentioned. Recently, someone posted a video on Facebook of someone deliberately driving on the wrong side of the road. I have seen similar driving happen a couple of times by cars in front of me. Lowering the speed limit does not solve idiot drivers. It just causes more frustration. I have driven around the Bay of Islands, out to Opononi, up to Kaitaia, down to Auckland, and beyond these places. The speed limit is fine. Also, 80km makes me tired and weary, where as 100km keeps me refreshed and alert. As I've only driven the section between Awanui and Taipa a couple of times, I do recall being thankful to have vehicles in front of me that made me more aware of some tight bends that were coming up.
451	Individual submitter	Location 3	It's not the designated speed that is the problem. It is the driver's who choose to drive dangerously, putting their lives and the lives of others at risk. Lowering the speed limit would not alter their behaviour for the better, and could even make it worse. The roads, in my opinion are well designed for the current speed limits. I have personally had someone overtake me and continue on at a speed of around 130km p/hr. I have to slow down for overtaking cars in oncoming traffic. I've had to sit behind people doing 20-40km under the speed limit (something that they wouldn't have been allowed to get their licence passed on). And there are many other cases of driving I haven't mentioned. Recently, someone posted a video on Facebook of someone deliberately driving on the wrong side of the road. I have seen similar driving happen a couple of times by cars in front of me. Lowering the speed limit does not solve idiot drivers. It just causes more frustration. I have driven around the Bay of Islands, out to Opononi, up to Kaitaia, down to Auckland, and beyond these places. The speed limit is fine. Also, 80km makes me tired and weary, where as 100km keeps me refreshed and alert. As I've only driven the section between Awanui and Taipa a couple of times, I do recall being thankful to have vehicles in front of me that made me more aware of some tight bends that were coming up.
452	Individual submitter	Location 4	It's not the designated speed that is the problem. It is the driver's who choose to drive dangerously, putting their lives and the lives of others at risk. Lowering the speed limit would not alter their behaviour for the better, and could even make it worse. The roads, in my opinion are well designed for the current speed limits. I have personally had someone overtake me and continue on at a speed of around 130km p/hr. I have to slow down for overtaking cars in oncoming traffic. I've had to sit behind people doing 20-40km under the speed limit (something that they wouldn't have been allowed to get their licence passed on). And there are many other cases of driving I haven't mentioned. Recently, someone posted a video on Facebook of someone deliberately driving on the wrong side of the road. I have seen similar driving happen a couple of times by cars in front of me. Lowering the speed limit does not solve idiot drivers. It just causes more frustration. I have driven around the Bay of Islands, out to Opononi, up to Kaitaia, down to Auckland, and beyond these places. The speed limit is fine. Also, 80km makes me tired and weary, where as 100km keeps me refreshed and alert. As I've only driven the section between Awanui and Taipa a couple of times, I do recall being thankful to have vehicles in front of me that made me more aware of some tight bends that were coming up.

453	Individual submitter	Location 5	It's not the designated speed that is the problem. It is the driver's who choose to drive dangerously, putting their lives and the lives of others at risk. Lowering the speed limit would not alter their behaviour for the better, and could even make it worse. The roads, in my opinion are well designed for the current speed limits. I have personally had someone overtake me and continue on at a speed of around 130km p/hr. I have to slow down for overtaking cars in oncoming traffic. I've had to sit behind people doing 20-40km under the speed limit (something that they wouldn't have been allowed to get their licence passed on). And there are many other cases of driving I haven't mentioned. Recently, someone posted a video on Facebook of someone deliberately driving on the wrong side of the road. I have seen similar driving happen a couple of times by cars in front of me. Lowering the speed limit does not solve idiot drivers. It just causes more frustration. I have driven around the Bay of Islands, out to Opononi, up to Kaitaia, down to Auckland, and beyond these places. The speed limit is fine. Also, 80km makes me tired and weary, where as 100km keeps me refreshed and alert. As I've only driven the section between Awanui and Taipa a couple of times, I do recall being thankful to have vehicles in front of me that made me more aware of some tight bends that were coming up.
454	Individual submitter	Location 6	It's not the designated speed that is the problem. It is the driver's who choose to drive dangerously, putting their lives and the lives of others at risk. Lowering the speed limit would not alter their behaviour for the better, and could even make it worse. The roads, in my opinion are well designed for the current speed limits. I have personally had someone overtake me and continue on at a speed of around 130km p/hr. I have to slow down for overtaking cars in oncoming traffic. I've had to sit behind people doing 20-40km under the speed limit (something that they wouldn't have been allowed to get their licence passed on). And there are many other cases of driving I haven't mentioned. Recently, someone posted a video on Facebook of someone deliberately driving on the wrong side of the road. I have seen similar driving happen a couple of times by cars in front of me. Lowering the speed limit does not solve idiot drivers. It just causes more frustration. I have driven around the Bay of Islands, out to Opononi, up to Kaitaia, down to Auckland, and beyond these places. The speed limit is fine. Also, 80km makes me tired and weary, where as 100km keeps me refreshed and alert. As I've only driven the section between Awanui and Taipa a couple of times, I do recall being thankful to have vehicles in front of me that made me more aware of some tight bends that were coming up.
455	Individual submitter	Location 1	All of these proposed changes are way over the top. To suggest it will only add 12 seconds to the travel time is ridiculous. Rural businesses rely on these roads and need to be able to get around northland in decent time. Cars are getting safer all the time, why the sudden need to drastically drop speed limits? The council should stop taking the easy option and spend some money improving the roads
456	Individual submitter	Location 2	All of these proposed changes are way over the top. To suggest it will only add 12 seconds to the travel time is ridiculous. Rural businesses rely on these roads and need to be able to get around northland in decent time. Cars are getting safer all the time, why the sudden need to drastically drop speed limits? The council should stop taking the easy option and spend some money improving the roads
457	Individual submitter	Location 3	All of these proposed changes are way over the top. To suggest it will only add 12 seconds to the travel time is ridiculous. Rural businesses rely on these roads and need to be able to get around northland in decent time. Cars are getting safer all the time, why the sudden need to drastically drop speed limits? The council should stop taking the easy option and spend some money improving the roads
458	Individual submitter	Location 4	All of these proposed changes are way over the top. To suggest it will only add 12 seconds to the travel time is ridiculous. Rural businesses rely on these roads and need to be able to get around northland in decent time. Cars are getting safer all the time, why the sudden need to drastically drop speed limits? The council should stop taking the easy option and spend some money improving the roads
459	Individual submitter	Location 5	All of these proposed changes are way over the top. To suggest it will only add 12 seconds to the travel time is ridiculous. Rural businesses rely on these roads and need to be able to get around northland in decent time. Cars are getting safer all the time, why the sudden need to drastically drop speed limits? The council should stop taking the easy option and spend some money improving the roads
460	Individual submitter	Location 6	All of these proposed changes are way over the top. To suggest it will only add 12 seconds to the travel time is ridiculous. Rural businesses rely on these roads and need to be able to get around northland in decent time. Cars are getting safer all the time, why the sudden need to drastically drop speed limits? The council should stop taking the easy option and spend some money improving the roads
461	Individual submitter	Location 1	This is a rural area. There is no need to reduce the speed limit. This will add to the frustration already experienced due to lack of passing opportunities
462	Individual submitter	Location 2	There is already a safe pedestrian footpath in this area. There is no need to reduce the speed limit
463	Individual submitter	Location 3	The factor you should consider is the frustration about to be experienced by competent drivers and the fact that idiots will ignore it anyway
464	Individual submitter	Location 4	It doesn't need to be reduced for that distance - through the village itself should be sufficient
465	Individual submitter	Location 5	There is no logical reason for this in an area that is not built up

466	Individual submitter	Location 6	Listen to the vast majority of the people which you have failed spectacularly to do so far.
467	Individual submitter	Location 1	Tauranga uses 90kmph very successfully - I would like to see 90 rather than 80 - 80 is feels slow on these roads - drivers are more likely to keep to a fair speed and grow impatient on a slow one.
468	Individual submitter	Location 2	70kmph would be a better speed - you are slowing traffic too much and this has a psychological effect - too slow and drivers will react with impatience.
469	Individual submitter	Location 3	90 is much more acceptable to drivers - they don't feel too limited.
470	Individual submitter	Location 4	60 kmph is acceptable (70 is better)through the actual village - I think the proposed distance is too long
471	Individual submitter	Location 5	70 kmph - same reasons as previous.
472	Individual submitter	Location 6	The present limits work well Any changes need logic - ever changing speeds cause confusion. Overall I see no reason for any of the above changes except the area through the Kareponia and Kaingaroa villages (include the Kaingaroa School) the roads are fine and with all your "consulting" you have probably been told slow drivers cause impatience and mistakes. In the built up areas of Taipa Cable Bay Coopers Beach and Mangonui 60 kmph is acceptable so why the difference in that areas where 50 is being proposed? The change needed in this area is an extension of the 60 kmph zone to the northern entrance to Mangonui rather than the 100 kmph starting by the fire station.
473	Individual submitter	Location 1	There is absolutely no need to change the existing 100kmh speed.
474	Individual submitter	Location 2	no need to change .
475	Individual submitter	Location 3	no need to change .
476	Individual submitter	Location 4	no need to change .
477	Individual submitter	Location 5	no need to change .
478	Individual submitter	Location 6	This is ok.
479	Individual submitter	Location 1	This is an infringement on a good driver's ability to maintain a sensible traveling speed. Any lowering of speed limits will result in fewer people bothering to go to Kaitia.
480	Individual submitter	Location 2	Leave the limit as it is. Fence the properties.
481	Individual submitter	Location 3	Leave the limit as it is
482	Individual submitter	Location 4	Leave it 100. Make the residents fence their properties.
483	Individual submitter	Location 5	Leave it at 100.
484	Individual submitter	Location 6	Leave it
485	Individual submitter	Location 1	Dont do it. Stupid idea.
486	Individual submitter	Location 2	Dont do it. Stupid idea.
487	Individual submitter	Location 3	Dont do it. Stupid idea.
488	Individual submitter	Location 4	Dont do it. Stupid idea.
489	Individual submitter	Location 5	Dont do it. Stupid idea.
490	Individual submitter	Location 6	Dont do it. Stupid idea.
491	Individual submitter	Location 1	Don't do it
492	Individual submitter	Location 2	Don't do it
493	Individual submitter	Location 3	Don't do it
494	Individual submitter	Location 4	Don't do it
495	Individual submitter	Location 5	Don't do it

496	Individual submitter	Location 6	As I work at the [REDACTED] Yes I agree with this. Widen the Rd so we have enough room. To pull to the left until the road is clear
497	Individual submitter	Location 1	Changes should only be applicable during school hours.
498	Individual submitter	Location 2	Only during school hours
499	Individual submitter	Location 3	(No Comment)
500	Individual submitter	Location 4	Reduction to 80kms is acceptable and only during school hours
501	Individual submitter	Location 5	Not required
502	Individual submitter	Location 6	Not required.
503	Individual submitter	Location 1	I support lower speeds between Awanui and Kaingaroa, however, too much reduction and too many different speeds will turn normally law abiding people into law breakers and may encourage higher risk taking behaviours.
504	Individual submitter	Location 2	Consideration should be given to the speed reduction operating around the times when the Kaingaroa School traffic is at its peak. Reducing the speed limit to 60km at all times may increase the risk of impatient drivers overtaking people obeying the speed limit.
505	Individual submitter	Location 3	Agree with this.
506	Individual submitter	Location 4	Reducing the speed limit to 60km at all times will increase the risk of impatient drivers overtaking people obeying the speed limit. I have experimented with driving this section at this reduced speed and have been overtaken several times.
507	Individual submitter	Location 5	See above comment
508	Individual submitter	Location 6	People do not obey the 50km speed limit as it is now, extending the distance is unlikely to change this.
509	Individual submitter	Location 1	there is no reason to change this speed limit. The only time people crash here are because they are being idiots going 120kmph or are drunk. there are plenty of signs saying how fast you should go around those corners and its their fault they arent listening to them
510	Individual submitter	Location 2	This makes no fucken sense that you would be able to go 80 past the school but past a intersection you have to go 60 and then speed up again for the passing lanes. i have been driving for many years and have only ever seen or heard of people crashing there from police chases
511	Individual submitter	Location 3	Fulton Hogan just finished fixing the 75 km bend and has made it much safer then it was. This stretch of road is basically just a strait and has a slow vehicle passing lane on it, if you cant drive this part of road at 100ks you shouldnt be driving at all.
512	Individual submitter	Location 4	This road should not be a 60k limit a lot of us locals including the kareponia hill locals would agree that 80 is a safe speed limit until the bottom of the hill, if you changed it to 80 instead of putting up those stupid slow down signs it would make that stretch a lot safer.
513	Individual submitter	Location 5	it says on the picture that you would change it to 80 ? which i think wouldn't be a bad idea if you just changed from kareponia to awanui to 80 that would make everything better but from kareponia to kaingaroa doesn't need changing.
514	Individual submitter	Location 6	(No Comment)
515	Individual submitter	Location 1	Should be left at 100kph - if the reason for reducing the speed limit is bends in the road should the Mangamukas be changed to 80kph too? Nanny state alert.
516	Individual submitter	Location 2	80kph would be sufficient
517	Individual submitter	Location 3	Should be left at 100kph, this includes 2 straight stretches of road that have no issues
518	Individual submitter	Location 4	The 800m west of Godinovich Road is a straight stretch of good road & what is the reason for reducing the speed limit here? No need - leave at 100kph. 80 kph is only needed through Kareponia - from 800m west of Godinovich Road & returning to 100kph again 1.5km southwest of Pairatahi Road. Consider providing a safe bus dropoff bay instead of slowing the whole off SH10 down.
519	Individual submitter	Location 5	Should be left at 100kph, this is a predominately straight stretch of road that has no issues
520	Individual submitter	Location 6	Agree this is required.
521	Individual submitter	Location 1	There is a State Highway which should be 100km/h. Please leave it at the current 100km/h speed.
522	Individual submitter	Location 2	There is no reason whatsoever to change this speed. If you do this then you should do this to every single small village along the whole entire State Highway network. Please leave this area as the existing 100km/h speed.

523	Individual submitter	Location 3	There is no reason whatsoever to change this speed. Please leave this area as the existing 100km/h speed. If you do this then you should do this to every single small village along the whole entire State Highway network.
524	Individual submitter	Location 4	There is no reason whatsoever to change this speed. Please leave this area as the existing 100km/h speed.
525	Individual submitter	Location 5	There is no reason whatsoever to change this speed. Please leave this area as the existing 100km/h speed.
526	Individual submitter	Location 6	Happy for this to happen.
527	Individual submitter	Location 1	I would suggest the reason that you will have such a small impact on travel time is that we have no passing lanes - so traffic is currently constantly being held up by trucks and tourist vehicles. We have one slow speed passing lane which trucks thankfully use, unfortunately most tourists do not. Maybe provide some passing lanes so that peoples frustration levels are reduced and they are not always looking to pass in crazy places. I see near misses on this road from overtaking maneuvers on at least a fortnightly basis. I don't see the speed limit reducing stopping people from passing in crazy places. I think we should get to keep the 100km/hr parts of the roads.
528	Individual submitter	Location 2	An excellent idea. Please also include some big flashing school zone signs that the school can turn on at child pick up and drop off times.
529	Individual submitter	Location 3	As above in point 1. I think this should remain as an open road with a 100km speed limit. The traffic is usually going between 60 and 80km already. Providing a passing land may help reduce driver frustration with slow traffic. Idiot frustrated drivers take out patient frustrated drivers!
530	Individual submitter	Location 4	Surely this is a rather extended 60km area. This should be significantly shortened. There are 2 straights along this area which should not be set at 60km/hour. This is just going to create a speed trap. I completely agree that the road through the village should be 60km. But once you reach the bottom of the hill there is very clear visibility, it is nearly completely straight. This should be 100km right through to Awanui.
531	Individual submitter	Location 5	I assume this is meant to say 80km rather than 60 - as indicated on your maps. I think chopping and changing the speed limit for such a small distance is asking for confused and speeding drivers. Keep the open road at 100km from the edge of Kareponia village through to the 50km sign in Awanui. It is simple. Making too many changes in speeds will create more havoc. Whatever speed you go with - it should be kept consistent. speeding up and slowing down again in a short distance is not going to help with fuel efficiency, tempers, or encourage good driving behaviour.
532	Individual submitter	Location 6	I agree. This is absolutely sensible. But keep the rest of the road between here and the bottom of the hill at 100km/hour.
533	Individual submitter	Location 1	While i am against any reductions in speed limits Awanui to Pukewhai road I agree reducing limit to 80k would make little diference in this area
534	Individual submitter	Location 2	I see no justification in reducing the speed to 60 ks in this area 80ks maybe you need to be able to do 100ks to pass quickly and safely going up the hill
535	Individual submitter	Location 3	leave this stretch at 100ks this is state highway 10
536	Individual submitter	Location 4	once again this is state highway 10 not a city any reduction in speed limit will only lead to frustration and more accidents
537	Individual submitter	Location 5	changing speed limits will only affect the law abiding drivers
538	Individual submitter	Location 6	this is the only proposal that I realy agree with