4.6 Risks

A Risk Register and Treatment Plan have been developed in alignment with AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009 Risk Management, the Central Otago District Council Corporate Risk Policy, and the RIMS Best Practise Guideline for Risk Management on Road Networks.

Risks are monitored and reported on in accordance with the Central Otago District Council Risk Policy.

Council have reviewed and updated the previous risk register in 2017 in consultation with their contractor. The complete risk register is shown in Appendix 1.



On the following pages are an extract from the risk register in CODC's AMP. This risk register is also part of the council's wider audit and risk management policies and procedures.

NZ TRANSPORT AGENCY AMP example tool 2

		STAGE 1 - RISK IDENTIFICATION						STAGE 2 - ANALYSIS OF UNCONTROLLED RISK									STAGE 3 - RISK CONTROLS AND ANALYSIS OF CONTROLLED (RESIDUAL) RISK									STAGE 4 - RECOMMENDED ACTIONS
		Risks Risks Owne					Consequence Score								Current Controls (Mitigations)	Consequence Score						Con	trolled	Risk	Future Controls (Mitigations)	
!	KISK ID	Description	Date risk entered/ latest revision date		Outcome/Result	Assigned to	Reputational	Financial	Injury / Harm	Property/Assets Damage/Failure	Operational (LOS)	Environmental	Consequence Score (2016)	Likelihood Score (2016)	Level of Risk (2016)	Control (Mitigations)	Reputational	Financial	Injury / Harm	Property/Assets Damage/Failure	Operational (LOS)	Environmental	Consequence Score (2016)	Likelihood Score (2016)	Level of Risk (2016)	Planned Mitigations
	1	Health and safety - Non- compliance with current legislation and legal requirements.	1.0cH6	Unsafe practices, culture, lack of commitment, incorrect plant operation, etc.	Death & serious injury to: council staff, contractor working on council owned sites, consultant, member of the public.	OEO	4	3	5				5	Moderate	V exy High	Sites being compliant, culture change, processes, sitewise accreditation	4	3	5				5	Unikely	High	

Source: Central Otago District Council Transportation AMP 2018-2021

NZ TRANSPORT AGENCY AMP example tool 3

	STAGE 1 - RISK IDENTIFICATION						STAGE 2 - ANALYSIS OF UNCONTROLLED RISK								STAGE 3 - RISK CONTROLS AND ANALYSIS OF CONTROLLED (RESIDUAL) RISK									ED	STAGE 4 - RECOMMENDED ACTIONS
	Risks Risk Owner					Consequence Score Un- controlled risk							risk	Current Controls (Mitigations)	ols Consequence Score						Con	trolled	Risk	Future Controls (Mitigations)	
Risk ID	Description	Date risk entered/ latest revision date	Causal Factor	Outcome/Result	Assigned to	Reputational	Financial	Injury / Harm	Property/Assets Damage/Failure	Operational (LOS)	Environmental	Consequence Score (2016)	Likelihood Score (2016)	Level of Risk (2016)	Control (Mitigations)	Reputational	Financial	Injury / Harm	Property/Assets Damage/Failure	Operational (LOS)	Environmental	Consequence Score (2016)	Likelihood Score (2016)	Level of Risk (2016)	Planned Mitigations
3	Service levels - Inadequate or inappropriately defined and delivery of levels of service expectations.	1-04-16	Misalignment between stakeholder expectations and delivery.	Service failure; over or under expenditure; community dissatisfaction.	Executive Manager infrastructure Services	3	3					3	Likely	High		3	3					3	Likely	Hgh	Normal AMP process along with integration of ONRC parameters.