## 4 OUTPUTS

## 4.1 General

All information assembled and data derived during the screening project shall be stored by the screening consultant. It shall be kept readily available for subsequent review or detailed analysis for a period of at least ten years from delivery of the final report to Transit New Zealand, or longer if so requested by the Regional Manager, Transit New Zealand.

## 4.2 Outputs

The items to be delivered to Transit New Zealand on completion of a screening project shall be as listed below. Examples of the required outputs for (a), (c i) and (cii) below are included in Appendix F. Information shall be presented in a report in the following order:

- (a) A summary list of all structures on the highways covered by the screening report. The list shall include all totally and partially excluded structures (Sections 3.1 and 3.3).
- (b) A summary list of bridges, in order of decreasing ranking, that have been found to lack connections between superstructure elements (Section 3.3.2). The list shall also show the rough order cost of retrofitting to provide connections.
- (c) For the *group* of bridges in the batch screened:
  - (i) One printed copy of the completed summary spreadsheet that lists the seismic attributes grading values (Figure 5).
  - (ii) One printed copy of the summary spreadsheet (Figure 6), sorted by risk event in decreasing order of ranking in accordance with 3.11.2.
  - (iii) One printed copy of the summary spreadsheet (Figure 6), sorted by bridge in decreasing order of ranking in accordance with 3.11.3.2.
- (d) A summary list of bridges, in order of decreasing ranking in accordance with 3.11.3.2, that are considered to justify detailed seismic assessment of their seismic performance and of their possible justification of seismic retrofit. This list may include bridges also listed in (b) above, depending on what other seismic deficiencies have been identified.
- (e) A brief descriptive text on each bridge listed in (d) above, to summarise the risk events, treatment options, and aspects that influenced the choice of ranking of the risk events and the bridge as a whole.
- (f) A copy in A3 size, folded to A4, of the general arrangement drawings for each of the bridges listed in (b) and (d). The drawings of each bridge shall be placed immediately after the text (see (e) above) for that bridge.
- (g) For each bridge for which only Forms 1 (Part 1), 2 and 3 (Appendix B) are required to be completed (i.e. partially excluded bridges), one copy of the completed Forms 1 (Part 1), 2 and 3, as an appendix to the report.

Figure 5 Spreadsheet Format for Recording Seismic Attributes Grading Values

IECKE	ED BY, AND DA	TE:	DES FOR BRII		<del></del>	<del></del>		J																		
	D BY, AND DAT																									
State ghway	Route Position	Bridge Name	Partially Excluded in Stage 3 of	Attributes			e Vulnerabilit	,		x Attribute Rat	_	Importance Index Attribute Ratings						Vulnerability Index Attribute Ratings							Route P	
hway			Screening Procedure Yes/No?	Grade (SAG)	Index	Index	Index	Peak Ground Acceleration	Remaining Service Life	Soil Condition	Risk of Liquefaction Effect	AADT On Bridge	Detour Effect	AADT Under Bridge	Facility Crossed	Strategic Importance	Critical Utility	Year Designed	Super- structure Hinges	Super- structure Overlap	Super- structure Length	Pier Type	Bridge Skew	Abutment Type	Other Feature	Or
				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				<b></b>							<u> </u>								
				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																			-
				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00															<del> </del>	<del> </del>	-		-
				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																			
				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																<b></b>			<del> </del>
,				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	<del> </del>		-						-										
				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00														ļ					
				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				<b></b>										-	-	-	-		-
				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00									ļ		-							-	
				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																			
				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ļ										
			1.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																			
				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00															-	-			2
				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00									<del> </del>										2
				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	ļ														<b></b>				2
	<b> </b>			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00															-		-		2
				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00									<del> </del>				<u> </u>						
ed In	order of Seismi	c Attributes Grade	*.									T on Bridge x I ese two combin			0.15		0.10	0.25	0.08	1	0.12	0.15	0.05	0.10	0.15	1
ate ıway	Position	Bridge Name	Partially Excluded in Stage 3 of		SAG																					
tate hway	Position	Bridge Name	Partially Excluded in Stage 3 of Screening Procedure Yes/No?	Seismic Attributes Grade (SAG)	SAG Ranking			NOTES:							<del></del>	***************************************										
ate Iway	Position	c Attributes Grade Bridge Name	in Stage 3 of Screening Procedure	Attributes Grade				l	Attributes Gr	ade = Hazard	Index x Import	ance Index x V	/uinerability i	ndex												
ate nway	Position	Bridge Name	in Stage 3 of Screening Procedure	Attributes Grade	Ranking 1 2			1. Seismic			Index x Import G, H and AA of				F of the lowe	r table.										
ate Iway	Position	Bridge Name	in Stage 3 of Screening Procedure	Attributes Grade	Ranking  1 2 3 4			Selsmic     With she	et protected, o	columns E, F,	G, H and AA of	the upper table	are protecte	d, as is column												
ite way	Position	Bridge Name	in Stage 3 of Screening Procedure	Attributes Grade	1 2 3 4 5 6			1. Seismic 2. With she 3. Data is e	et protected, o	columns E, F,	G, H and AA of	the upper table	e are protecte d, Importance	d, as is column and Vulnerabil	ity Indices, an	d the SAG.										
ute	Position	Bridge Name	in Stage 3 of Screening Procedure	Attributes Grade	1 2 3 4 5 5			1. Seismic 2. With she 3. Data is e	et protected, o	columns E, F,	G, H and AA of	the upper table	e are protecte d, Importance	d, as is column and Vulnerabil	ity Indices, an	d the SAG.										
ate	Position	Bridge Name	in Stage 3 of Screening Procedure	Attributes Grade	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 8 9			1. Seismic 2. With she 3. Data is a 4. Data is a Note tha	et protected, contered into the copied to column the contents	columns E, F, e upper table to nns A to E of th of column E m	G, H and AA of	the upper table values of Hazar le and sorted b to the lower table	e are protecte d, Importance y descending e as "Values"	d, as is column and Vulnerabil	ity Indices, an	d the SAG.										
way	Position	Bridge Name	in Stage 3 of Screening Procedure	Attributes Grade	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 111			1. Seismic 2. With she 3. Data is a 4. Data is a Note tha	et protected, contered into the copied to column the contents	columns E, F, e upper table to nns A to E of th of column E m	G, H and AA of calculate the v ne the lower tab aust be copied to	the upper table values of Hazar le and sorted b to the lower table	e are protecte d, Importance y descending e as "Values"	d, as is column and Vulnerabil	ity Indices, an	d the SAG.										
ate	Position	Bridge Name	in Stage 3 of Screening Procedure	Attributes Grade	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10			1. Seismic 2. With she 3. Data is a 4. Data is a Note tha	et protected, contered into the copied to column the contents	columns E, F, e upper table to nns A to E of th of column E m	G, H and AA of calculate the v ne the lower tab aust be copied to	the upper table values of Hazar le and sorted b to the lower table	e are protecte d, Importance y descending e as "Values"	d, as is column and Vulnerabil	ity Indices, an	d the SAG.										
ate	Position	Bridge Name	in Stage 3 of Screening Procedure	Attributes Grade	Ranking  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14			1. Seismic 2. With she 3. Data is a 4. Data is a Note tha	et protected, contered into the copied to column the contents	columns E, F, e upper table to nns A to E of th of column E m	G, H and AA of calculate the v ne the lower tab aust be copied to	the upper table values of Hazar le and sorted b to the lower table	e are protecte d, Importance y descending e as "Values"	d, as is column and Vulnerabil	ity Indices, an	d the SAG.										
ate way	Position	Bridge Name	in Stage 3 of Screening Procedure	Attributes Grade	Ranking  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16			1. Seismic 2. With she 3. Data is a 4. Data is a Note tha	et protected, contered into the copied to column the contents	columns E, F, e upper table to nns A to E of th of column E m	G, H and AA of calculate the v ne the lower tab aust be copied to	the upper table values of Hazar le and sorted b to the lower table	e are protecte d, Importance y descending e as "Values"	d, as is column and Vulnerabil	ity Indices, an	d the SAG.										
ate	Position	Bridge Name	in Stage 3 of Screening Procedure	Attributes Grade	Ranking  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18			1. Seismic 2. With she 3. Data is a 4. Data is a Note tha	et protected, contered into the copied to column the contents	columns E, F, e upper table to nns A to E of th of column E m	G, H and AA of calculate the v ne the lower tab aust be copied to	the upper table values of Hazar le and sorted b to the lower table	e are protecte d, Importance y descending e as "Values"	d, as is column and Vulnerabil	ity Indices, an	d the SAG.										
way	Position	Bridge Name	in Stage 3 of Screening Procedure	Attributes Grade	Ranking  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17			1. Seismic 2. With she 3. Data is a 4. Data is a Note tha	et protected, contered into the copied to column the contents	columns E, F, e upper table to mns A to E of the of column E m C for detailed s	G, H and AA of calculate the v ne the lower tab aust be copied to	the upper table values of Hazar le and sorted b to the lower table	e are protecte d, Importance y descending e as "Values"	d, as is column and Vulnerabil	ity Indices, an	d the SAG.										
way	Position	Bridge Name	in Stage 3 of Screening Procedure	Attributes Grade	Ranking  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21			1. Seismic 2. With she 3. Data is a 4. Data is a Note tha	et protected, contered into the copied to colunt the contents the Appendix	columns E, F, e upper table to mns A to E of the of column E m C for detailed s	G, H and AA of calculate the v ne the lower tab aust be copied to	the upper table ralues of Hazar le and sorted b o the lower tabl the spreadshee	e are protecte d, Importance y descending e as "Values"	d, as is column and Vulnerabil	ity Indices, an	d the SAG.										
ate	Position	Bridge Name	in Stage 3 of Screening Procedure	Attributes Grade	Ranking  1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 100 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22 23			1. Seismic 2. With she 3. Data is a 4. Data is a Note tha	et protected, contered into the copied to colunt the contents the Appendix	columns E, F, e upper table to mns A to E of the of column E m C for detailed s	G, H and AA of calculate the v ne the lower tab aust be copied to	the upper table ralues of Hazar le and sorted b o the lower tabl the spreadshee	e are protecte d, Importance y descending e as "Values" t.	d, as is column and Vulnerabil	ity Indices, an	d the SAG.										
ate	Position	Bridge Name	in Stage 3 of Screening Procedure	Attributes Grade	Ranking  1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24			1. Seismic 2. With she 3. Data is a 4. Data is a Note tha	et protected, contered into the copied to colunt the contents the Appendix	columns E, F, e upper table to mns A to E of the of column E m C for detailed s	G, H and AA of calculate the v ne the lower tab aust be copied to	the upper table ralues of Hazar le and sorted b o the lower tabl the spreadshee	e are protecte d, Importance y descending e as "Values" t.	d, as is column and Vulnerabil	ity Indices, an	d the SAG.										
tate	Position	Bridge Name	in Stage 3 of Screening Procedure	Attributes Grade	Ranking  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26			1. Seismic 2. With she 3. Data is a 4. Data is a Note tha	et protected, contered into the copied to colunt the contents the Appendix	columns E, F, e upper table to mns A to E of the of column E m C for detailed s	G, H and AA of calculate the v ne the lower tab aust be copied to	the upper table ralues of Hazar le and sorted b o the lower tabl the spreadshee	e are protecte d, Importance y descending e as "Values" t.	d, as is column and Vulnerabil	ity Indices, an	d the SAG.										
ate	Position	Bridge Name	in Stage 3 of Screening Procedure	Attributes Grade	Ranking  1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25			1. Seismic 2. With she 3. Data is a 4. Data is a Note tha	et protected, contered into the copied to colunt the contents the Appendix	columns E, F, e upper table to mns A to E of the of column E m C for detailed s	G, H and AA of calculate the v ne the lower tab aust be copied to	the upper table ralues of Hazar le and sorted b o the lower tabl the spreadshee	e are protecte d, Importance y descending e as "Values" t.	d, as is column and Vulnerabil	ity Indices, an	d the SAG.										

Figure 6 Spreadsheet Format for Summarising Risk Assessment, Ranking Indicators and Bridge Rankings for Detailed Assessment

ΠΑ	T 8			1 6	-															
2 SU		OF RISK ASSESSMENT, RAN	KING	NDIC	ATORS AND BRIDGE RANKINGS FOR DETAIL	LED ASSESSMENT, FOR BRIDGES IN TNZ	REGION	V :		KIL	M	N	0	Р	1 0	I R	S	T	U	Į V
3						_			<u> </u>											
5 PREF	ARED BY,	AND DATE :																		
7 CHEC	KED BY, A	AND DATE :	L																	
10																				
8 9 10 11 12 13					RISK ASSESSMENT											INDICA				
State 14 Highw	y Positio		Seismi Zone Factor	Event	Description of Risk Event	Consequences	PGA Causine Risk Event	Risk Eve	nt Classificatio	na Table 4	Treatment Outland	Rough Order Cost (ROC) of Retrofft	Depreciated Value	Replacement value	Hatto: HOC to Depreciated Value		Economic Ranking Indicator	Risk Event Rank	Bridge Rank for Detailed Assessment	
16	_		Z	$\perp$			9	Figure 4	Table 2 Ta	ble 3 See Note	6	\$000	\$000	\$000	ļ		See Note 3		See Note 1	Yes/No
18	1																			<u> </u>
20				$\perp$				-							<u> </u>					<u> </u>
21				-		1		1												<u> </u>
23	+			-			<b> </b>													
25 26	-			-																
27				1==																
30				1_			<u> </u>													
31	1							<u> </u>						-						
33	-			<b> </b>																
35	1								+						<u> </u>					ļ
37							<del> </del>													
39				_																
41		·		-																
42			-	-			1													ļ
44	-			-																
46   47   Notes	or reader's	s information :				,	.1	J				L	L	<u></u>						
48	1 Brid	ng rank for datailed seismic acceptant in derived	I from the s		rocedure - see Section 3.11 of the Transit New Zealand "Manual for Seis	and December 4 Date 1														
50	2 For	datails of the procedure used for the sist assessment				sinc Screening of Bridges ;														
52	2. 701.	declars of the procedure used for the fisk assessme			of the Transit New Zealand "Manual for Seismic Screening of Bridges".															
54	3. FOF	Delivation of the economic ranking indicator see Se			ransit New Zealand "Manual for Seismic Screening of Bridges",															
56	4. HISK	events are as detailed on the risk register (Form 5	i) for indivi	dual brid	jes															
57 Notes 58 59	or comple 5. For t	s information: ge rank for detailed seismic assessment is derived details of the procedure used for the risk assessm derivation of the economic ranking indicator see So events are as detailed on the risk register (Form 5 ting the spreadsheet the ranked list of trisk events, enter the state highw will ensure that the identity is maintained after the he ranked list of bridges, for clarify show only one ant to the bridge being described (see Section C, arate the information for each bridge with a heavy to timent options and the values of ROC, depreciators tensits events are to be shown shaded.	/ay, route r	position a	and bridge name on each line that applies to the bridge.															
61	This For I	will ensure that the identity is maintained after the the ranked list of <i>bridges</i> , for clarity show only one	table is so	rted.	lge in each of columns A, B, C, D, U and V after sorting into bridge rank,	to cover all risk events														
62 63	relev Sept	vant to the bridge being described (see Section C.2 arate the information for each bridge with a heavy i	2.2 of the T	Fransit Ne	ew Zealand "Manual for Seismic Screening of Bridges" and the example	in Appendix G).														
64 65	6, Tres	Atment options and the values of ROC depreciator			values, ERI and risk event rank are not required to be listed for low (L) as	and madium (M) tough of risk														
66	The	se risk events are to be shown shaded.	ano repla	7C9111811( )	מושס, ביוו שוט ווא פישווו ומווא מוש ווסו required to be listed tof low (L) at	יים וויפטוטוו (M) 1848IS OF ITSK.														
<u>~,1</u>																				