Appendix F Safety in Design Register



1)

SAFETY IN DESIGN - M2PP Expressway **General Roading**

Author (Role): JM -

Job No:

3320901



Design Risk Assessment Register

		PRESSWAY ALLIANCE	1					Appro		By: JD -				Date	13 June 2011		
					Proj	Project Name: M2PP SID Workshop									Design / Project: 90% Review - Prelim Design		
DESIGN RISK Risk Matrix			×	MITIGATION MEASURES			Mitigated Risk & Resolution						RESIDUAL RISK CLO				
Ref	Hazard f (Guideword)	Issue	С	R L R	Risk C	Owner	Proposed Measure (at time of SiD meeting) (1 Eliminate, 2 Substitute, 3 Reduce, 4 Control)	С		R Client R Appro	De ved Sta	esign atus	Date	Risk Owner	Action Required	Responsibility close out & in in design / co	
1	Construction																
1.0	1 Interfaces External to the Project	Drainage at tie-ins to existing State Highway, risk of road traffic accidents.	4	4 ⊦	lain		Minimise number of culverts, identify simpler alternative construction methods to minimise worktime in live road. (eg directional drilling versus open trench)	4	3	м	c	pen	13/06/11				
1.02	2 Egress / Access	Unsafe worksite, due to flooding, water.	5	4 E	lain		Investigation and knowledge of ground conditions/water levels. Consider time of year.	5	1	м	C	pen	13/06/11				
1.03	3 Movement Direction	Ground stability/haul roads for heavy plant and lifting operations. Rolling over.	5	4 E	lain		Detailed assessment of ground conditions, ground improvement extent large enough.	5	2	м	c	pen	13/06/11				
1.04	4 Load / Force / Energy	Unsafe temporary access to trenches and pits.	5	4 E	lain		Review existing ground conditions. Design and methodolgy, maintain design standards, trench shields.	3	2	м	c	pen	13/06/11				
1.0	Impacting the works	Existing culverts settling/failing under preload or other construction. Flooding risk to SH 1, increased construction risk due to deeper trenches.	3		lain		Design considering construction methodologies and materials at culverts where ground may settle		3	м		pen	13/06/11				
	6 Ergonomics 7 Heights / Depths	Working around water at ponds, potential for slipping in. Deep manholes, height, confined spaces, gases, groundwater.		2 N 4 E	lain/Bo	oyden	Methodology during planting, water level during planting. Review locations and numbers required. Alternative methods for maintenance.	4	1	L M			13/06/11 13/06/11				
1.08	8 Toxicity / Safety	Handling of chemicals and toxic materials, causing health issues.	2	з 🛚	lain		Review of final materials to be used in the construction.	2	3	м	c	pen	13/06/11				
	9 Toxicity / Safety	Handling of heavy items.	Ť	3 N	lain		Detailing of large components. Try to avoid services. Involve service providers when designing		2	L			13/06/11				
1.10	0 Utilities/Services	Injury resulting from relocating services.	4	3 №	Doug		relocations/protectrion.	4	2	м	C	pen	13/06/11				
1.1	1 Interfaces External to the Project	Traffic hazards on live roads.	3	3 N	Kiran		Pavement design and methodologies to minimise construction time.	3	2	м	C	pen	13/06/11				
1.12	2																
2	2 Operation/Main		-							_							
2.0	1 Heights / Depths	Confined spaces, access and egress to deep, long structures for maintenance.	5	3 ⊦	lain		Design to consider ease of ventilation, detailing of access/egress points.	5	1	м	c	pen	13/06/11				
2.02	² Interfaces External to the Project	Public access into drainage structures. Drowning, injury.	5		lain		Design to prevent unauthorised access. Fences, grilles etc.	5	1	м	C	pen	13/06/11				
2.03	3 Egress / Access	Access to swales/wetlands/outlets etc for inspections, maintenance. Potential for being struck by passing traffic.	3	2 N	lain		Make provision for parking and access to drainage features for inspections.	3	1	L	C	pen	13/06/11				
2.04	4 Egress / Access	Access to bridge piers etc for inspections, maintenance. Slips and falls during maintenance.	3	2 N	lain		Make provision for parking and access to bridge piers for inspections/maintenance.	3	1	L	C	pen	13/06/11				
2.0	the Project	Repair of barriers next to live traffic lanes.	3		Doug		Use robust materials, provide clearances to give safe access.	3	3	м	C)pen	13/06/11				
2.06	the Project	Maintenance of lights. Risk of traffic strike.	3	3 N	Doug		Reduce frequency of needed maintenance. Choice of lamp type, position of access panels.	3	2	м	C	pen	13/06/11				
2.0	7 Interfaces External to the Project	Pavement and median maintenance including barrier, being in or close to live lane.	3	3 🛛	Doug		Design materials, reduce maintenance requirements.	3	2	м	c	pen	13/06/11				
2.08	the Project	Risk of pedestrians on/crossing expressway, traffic strike.	5	3 ⊦	Doug/	/Marc	Design appropriate accesses. Design to prevent access where this is not wanted.	5	2	м	c	pen	13/06/11				
2.09	9 Site Caused Environment	Landscape maintenance, risk to personnel.	3	3 N	Boyde	en	Choose appropriate planting and offsets.		2	м	c	pen	13/06/11				
2.10	0 Heights / Depths	Removal of graffiti, risk of falling from heights, struck by traffic.	3	5 ⊦	lain		Minimise graffiti opportunities, surfaces which don't encourage graffiti, use coatings for easy removal.	3	3	м	c	pen	13/06/11				
2.1	1 General Planning	Maintenance in live traffic lanes, markings, signage.	3	3 N	Doug		Appropriate materials.	3	2	м	c	pen	13/06/11				
2.12	2	Future proofing drainage structures to avoid future construction related accidents	2	2 L	lain		Design for the agreed design life.	2	2	L	C)pen	13/06/11				
					1												
3	B Demolition		1		11					-				1			
3.0	1 Movement Direction	Ground stability/work area for heavy plant and lifting operations. Rolling over.	5	4 E	Lucy		Detailed assessment of ground conditions, ground improvement extent large enough.	5	2	м	c	pen	13/06/11				
3.02	2 Utilities/Services	Damage to services during demolition.	3	3 N	l lain		Make location of services clearly visible.	3	2	м	c	pen	13/06/11				
3.03	3 Documentation / Other	Accidents due to unknown form of construction.	5	3 ⊦	lain		Provide good quality as-built records.	5	1	м	c	pen	13/06/11				
3.04	Documentation /	Accidents due to unknown form of construction.	5	3 ⊦	NZTA		Keep the good quality as-built records.	5	1	м) pen	13/06/11	<u> </u>			
3.0	Other		+		┦├──			+	╉								
3.0			+	++	┨┝──			+	-								
3.0			+	+	┨┝──			+	+				\vdash				
			+	++	┨┝──			$\left \right $	-								
3.09	9													L			

Key;

1) Negligible 2) Minor 3) Serious 4) Extensive 5) Catastrophic

Level of Risk: L) Low M) Moderate H) High E) Extreme

Notes: Hazards considered are those that are project / site specific, non-standard / bespoke designs, special process, high hazard risks (e.g. non 'business as usual' hazards) that have been identified at the time of the review(s). Other risks will continue to a

1) Rare 2) Unlikley 3) Possible 4) Likely 5) Almost Certain

E OUT ISSUE	RESOLUTION								
vility to & include contract Date									
I									