# **OVERVIEW OF PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO** LAND TRANSPORT RULES OMNIBUS AMENDMENT

#### LAND CURRENT **OA** PROPOSAL PROPOSED **ISSUE/REASON FOR** TRANSPORT **CLAUSE OF** RULE **CHANGE** CHANGE NO. SCHEDULE RULE REFERENCE DOOR RETENTION SYSTEMS (SECTION 2 OF THE OMNIBUS AMENDMENT RULE 2016 (OA RULE)) 1 **Door Retention** Clause 2.2 (4) 2.1(2)Add requirement Corrects an oversight. Currently the that all doors Rule only specifies a door must be Systems 2001 on a vehicle operable from inside the vehicle. can be opened The driver's door and passenger doors should be able to be opened from outside the vehicle. from the outside also. HEAVY-VEHICLE BRAKES (SECTION 3 OF THE OMNIBUS AMENDMENT RULE 2016) 2 **Heavy-vehicles** Definitions 3.1(2) Amend the This amendment would align the Brakes 2006 definition of 'twin-steer axle set' definition of 'twinsteer ayle set' to in the Heavy Vehicles Rule 2004 with the definition in the Vehicle remove reference to 'tandem axle' Dimensions and Mass Rule 2002 (VDAM). The definition was and make spacing changed in 2008 in the VDAM Rule consistent with but was not similarly changed in the international manufacturers' Heavy-vehicle Brakes and Heavy practice. Vehicles Rules. HEAVY VEHICLES (SECTION 4 OF THE OMNIBUS AMENDMENT RULE 2016) 2 **Heavy Vehicles** Definitions 4.1(2) Amend the Refer to proposal 2 above. 2004 definition of 'twinsteer axle set' to remove reference to 'tandem axle' and make spacing consistent with international manufacturers practice. PASSENGER SERVICE VEHICLES 1999 (SECTION 5 OF THE OMNIBUS AMENDMENT RULE 2016) 3 Passenger Clause 6.5(2) 5.1(2) To update how Sections 75 and 77 of the Electricity Act 1992 formerly listed Service Vehicles the Rule refers to the qualifications 1999 the qualifications required for of persons registration as an electrician or who conduct electrical inspector. These sections inspections of were repealed and substituted on certain electric-1 April 2010 by section 13 of the Electricity Amendment Act 2006 powered vehicles. which imposes restrictions on doing or assisting with electrical work. This change will update the current reference to registered electrician and provide for appropriately trained or qualified electricians or inspectors, such as vehicle manufacturer trained inspectors to conduct inspections on hybrid electric, battery power electric or fuel cell powered electric vehicles. ROAD USER RULE (SECTION 6 OF THE OMNIBUS AMENDMENT RULE 2016) t the NZ

**JULY 2016** 

| 4 Road User Rule Clause 1.6 Interpretation | 6.1(2) | Extend the<br>definition of<br>defence force<br>emergency<br>vehicle to include<br>a counter-<br>terrorism<br>response vehicle<br>operated by the<br>NZ Defence<br>Force. | This change would assist the NZ<br>Defence Force to support the<br>NZ Police in counter-terrorist<br>operations. See also the same<br>amendment for consistency in<br>the Vehicle Lighting Rule and the<br>Vehicle Equipment Rule. |
|--|--------|---|--|
|--|--------|---|--|

| PROPOSAL<br>NO. | LAND<br>TRANSPORT<br>RULE | CURRENT<br>CLAUSE OF<br>SCHEDULE | OA<br>RULE<br>REFERENCE | PROPOSED<br>CHANGE   | ISSUE/REASON FOR<br>CHANGE  |
|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|
| 5               | Road User Rule<br>2004    | Clause 1.6                       | 6.1(3)                  | Expand the<br>definition of<br>"Intersection" to<br>include a place<br>where a cycle<br>path or a shared<br>path crosses a<br>roadway.   | To legally control either the<br>movement of cyclists or road users<br>where a separated path crosses<br>a road way. The definition of<br>"Intersection" needs to include a<br>place where a cycle path or shared<br>path crosses a roadway.  |
| 6               | Road User Rule<br>2004    | Clause 2.7                       | 6.1(4)                  | Permit drivers to<br>encroach onto<br>a flush median<br>when overtaking<br>cyclists.   | Drivers who strictly observe the<br>current rule may attempt to execute<br>unsafe passing manoeuvres around<br>cyclists even when safer passing<br>distances would be available by<br>using the flush median. Drivers<br>undertaking this manoeuvre would<br>still be required to comply with<br>Clause 2.6 of the Rule.<br>We welcome comment on whether<br>this rule change should be extended<br>to include passing manoeuvres to<br>overtake pedestrians, horses, carts and<br>mobility scooters? |
| 7               | Road User Rule<br>2004    | Clause 4.1                       | 6.1(5)                  | For intersections<br>where a cycle<br>path or shared<br>path crosses<br>a road way,<br>require cyclists<br>or pedestrians on<br>a cycle path or a<br>separated path<br>or drivers on a<br>roadway to stop<br>or give way where<br>either group is<br>controlled by a<br>stop sign or a give<br>way sign. | To legally control either the<br>movement of path users or road<br>users where a cycle path or shared<br>path crosses a roadway. This is<br>because it is sometimes desirable<br>to require drivers on a roadway to<br>give way to cyclists or pedestrians<br>crossing from a path. Most drivers<br>already comply with give way or<br>stop signs at such intersections but<br>this will ensure that compliance is<br>required.   |
| 8               | Road User Rule<br>2004    | Clause 4.5                       | 6.1(6)                  | Clarify that<br>a driver<br>approaching an<br>intersection must<br>not enter a cycle<br>lane if the driver's<br>intended passage<br>or exit is blocked<br>by stationary<br>traffic and a<br>vehicle would<br>obstruct the cycle<br>lane.   | To maintain the free flow of<br>designated cycle lanes, particularly<br>near left turn lanes and reduce the<br>risk of cyclists executing unsafe<br>manoeuvres.   |
| 9               | Road User Rule<br>2004    | Clause 5.8                       | 6.1(7)                  | Remove<br>prescription by<br>amending the<br>Rule to refer<br>to a range of<br>circumstances<br>indicated by a<br>warning sign in<br>which a 20km/h<br>speed limit<br>applies.   | Currently, the Rule refers to a<br>sign for 'accident', 'breakdown' or<br>'emergency'. These three words<br>equate to three signs that are<br>listed in the Traffic Control Devices<br>Rule. However, there is also a<br>"Fire" sign and recently, a "Crash"<br>sign was added to that Rule. The<br>proposal is to refer to the types<br>of circumstances indicated by a<br>warning sign, rather than listing<br>specific signs.  |

| PROPOSAL<br>NO. | LAND<br>TRANSPORT<br>RULE | CURRENT<br>CLAUSE OF<br>SCHEDULE | OA<br>RULE<br>REFERENCE | PROPOSED<br>CHANGE  | ISSUE/REASON FOR<br>CHANGE  |
|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| 10              | Road User Rule<br>2004    | Clause 6.6                       | 6.1(8)                  | Allow a bus to<br>enter and leave<br>a cycle lane<br>and to stand at<br>a bus stop in a<br>cycle lane for<br>the purposes<br>of passenger<br>boarding and<br>alighting. | To provide for the ongoing design<br>and construction of cycle lanes<br>with occasional bus stops included.<br>Without a rule change, a cycle lane<br>has to be terminated by a marking<br>or a sign, a bus stop marked and a<br>cycle lane recommenced past the<br>bus stop. While appropriate for<br>busier roads, it is excessive for local<br>roads and lesser used stops.  |
| 11              | Road User Rule<br>2004    | Clause 11.12                     | 6.1(9) and<br>6.1(10)   | Extend the time<br>period during<br>which lighting<br>and reflector<br>requirements<br>apply to pedal<br>cycles and power<br>assisted pedal<br>cycles.                  | To improve cyclist visibility by<br>extending the period during which<br>forward and rearward facing cycle<br>lamps and reflectors are required<br>to be used from 30 minutes after<br>sunset and 30 minutes before<br>sunsite to between the times of<br>sunset and sunrise. This change<br>would also apply to the requirement<br>that a cycle must either have pedal<br>reflectors or the person must wear<br>reflective material. |

#### SEATBELTS AND SEATBELT ANCHORAGES (SECTION 7 OF THE OMNIBUS AMENDMENT RULE 2016)

### SETTING OF SPEED LIMITS (SECTION 8 OF THE OMNIBUS AMENDMENT RULE 2016)

| 13 | Setting of Speed<br>Limits 2003 | Clause 2.3(3)<br>(b)                  | 8.1(2)               | Clarify that the<br>rural speed limit<br>is the default<br>speed limit on all<br>motorways, not<br>just those in rural<br>areas.                                    | The current clause can be<br>misinterpreted as meaning that<br>the rural speed limit applies to<br>motorways in rural areas, but not to<br>motorways in urban areas.   |
|----|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---|--|
| 14 | Setting of Speed<br>Limits 2003 | Clauses<br>5.2(2)(b) and<br>5.3(2)(b) | 8.1(3) and<br>8.1(4) | Allow temporary<br>speed limits to be<br>10 km/h less than<br>the permanent<br>speed limit in<br>areas where the<br>permanent speed<br>limit is 50 km/h<br>or less. | Temporary speed limits must<br>be at least 20 km/h less than<br>the permanent speed limit. This<br>reduction is reasonable in rural<br>areas but, for example, in urban<br>areas with 40 km/h permanent<br>speed limits, a 10 km/h reduction<br>to 30 km/h would be adequate for<br>safe traffic management. |
| 15 | Setting of Speed<br>Limits 2003 | Clause 6.1(2)<br>(a)                  | 8.1(5)               | Clarify that<br>variable speed<br>limits may be<br>set for safe or<br>efficient traffic<br>management.  | To clarify that the Rule allows a<br>variable speed limit to be set to<br>manage congested roads. There<br>may also be a future need to provide<br>for variable speed limits to control<br>emissions.  |

| PROPOSAL<br>NO. | LAND<br>TRANSPORT<br>RULE       | CURRENT<br>CLAUSE OF<br>SCHEDULE | OA<br>RULE<br>REFERENCE | PROPOSED<br>CHANGE  | ISSUE/REASON FOR<br>CHANGE  |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| 16              | Setting of Speed<br>Limits 2003 | Clause 8.1                       | 8.1(6) and<br>8.1(7)    | Allow a speed<br>limit sign to be<br>placed more than<br>20 metres from<br>the point a speed<br>limit changes.  | The current requirement is that<br>speed limit signs must be placed<br>within 20 metres of the point the<br>speed limit changes; typically at<br>an intersection. This change would<br>enable some flexibility to place<br>signs elsewhere in clear and safe<br>locations that would make it easier<br>for road users to see or react to the<br>sign. |
| 17              | Setting of Speed<br>Limits 2003 | Clause 8.5                       | 8.1(8)                  | Allow a Road<br>Controlling<br>Authority to mark<br>the speed limit on<br>the road surface<br>at places other<br>than alongside a<br>speed limit sign.  | It is often useful to place regulatory<br>markings elsewhere, not just<br>alongside signs. The change<br>would clarify that speed limit road<br>markings may be installed where<br>the RCA considers appropriate and<br>not be limited to placement beside<br>a speed limit sign.   |
| STEERING SYST   | EMS (SECTION 9 OF               | THE OMNIBUS A                    | MENDMENT RULE           | 2016)   |   |
| 18              | Steering<br>Systems 2001        | Clause 2.5                       | 9.1(2)                  | Replace reference<br>to "Schedule 1"<br>with "Schedule".  | There is no Schedule 1; there being<br>only one "Schedule" referred to in<br>Part 3 of the Rule.  |
| 19              | Steering<br>Systems 2001        | Definitions                      | 9.1(3)                  | Update the<br>definition of New<br>Zealand resident<br>by referring to the<br>Immigration Act<br>2009.  | This would update the Rule by<br>replacing the reference to the<br>Immigration Act 1987 as the<br>Rule has not been updated since<br>new Immigration legislation was<br>enacted.  |
| TRAFFIC CONTR   | ROL DEVICES (SECTI              | ON 10 OF THE ON                  | INIBUS AMENDMI          | ENT RULE 2016)  |   |
| 20              | Traffic Control<br>Devices 2004 | Clause 4.4(4)                    | 10.1(2)                 | Allow the Agency,<br>by notice in<br>the Gazette,<br>to make minor<br>variations to<br>aspects of traffic<br>signs specified in<br>Schedule 1.  | This change would provide the<br>Agency with the ability to allow for<br>technical innovation and road safety<br>improvements by making minor and<br>technical variations to the colour,<br>shape, dimensions, words, letters,<br>numerals, symbols, number of<br>elements, background, border or<br>legend of traffic signs.                         |
| 21              | Traffic Control<br>Devices 2004 | Clause 5.4(1)                    | 10.1(3)                 | Allow the Agency,<br>by notice in<br>the Gazette,<br>to make minor<br>variations to the<br>colour, shape,<br>dimensions,<br>words, letters,<br>numerals<br>or symbols<br>of markings<br>specified in<br>Schedule 2. | The Agency may make changes to<br>signs by notice in the Gazette but<br>it cannot make similar changes to<br>road markings. The change would<br>align both clauses by enabling the<br>Agency to approve changes to road<br>markings in addition to changes to<br>traffic signs.   |
| 22              | Traffic Control<br>Devices 2004 | 5.4(6)<br>Schedule 1             | 10.1(4) and<br>10.1(5)  | Allow the use<br>of blue flashing<br>reflective<br>pavement<br>markers (RRPMs)<br>as ice warning<br>markers.  | To authorise the general use of blue<br>RRPMs as ice-warning markers<br>following a trial of blue, flashing,<br>ice-warning, pavement markers.<br>Also to remove requirement for<br>these to be raised so that markers<br>that are flush with the pavement<br>may be used.  |

| PROPOSAL<br>NO.   | LAND<br>TRANSPORT<br>RULE              | CURRENT<br>CLAUSE OF<br>SCHEDULE   | OA<br>RULE<br>REFERENCE | PROPOSED<br>CHANGE   | ISSUE/REASON FOR<br>CHANGE   |  |
|---|--|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| 23  | Traffic Control<br>Devices 2004        | 6.2(1A)(c)   | 10.1(6)                 | Remove the<br>requirement<br>for flashing red<br>signals to have a<br>supplementary<br>signal display.   | The Rule currently requires all<br>traffic signals, other than temporary<br>signals to have a primary and<br>secondary display. However,<br>flashing red traffic signals at, for<br>example, railway level crossings<br>and emergency vehicle accesses,<br>don't have secondary displays, so<br>the Rule needs to be changed to<br>accommodate this. |  |
| 5   | Traffic Control<br>Devices 2004        | Part 2,<br>Definitions   | 10.1(7) and<br>10.1(8)  | Change to the<br>definition of<br>"Intersection"<br>to align with the<br>new proposed<br>definition of<br>"Intersection" in<br>the Road User<br>Rule   | See proposal (5) above.<br>Consequential change to align<br>definitions in the Road User Rule<br>and the Traffic Control Devices Rule.   |  |
| 24  | Traffic Control<br>Devices 2004        | Schedule 1   | 10.1(9)                 | Add new warning<br>signs into<br>Schedule 1 of the<br>Rule.  | The new warning signs alert drivers<br>to keep left or drive on the left. A<br>new frost marker sign warns drivers<br>to slow down for frost.  |  |
| 25  | Traffic Control<br>Devices 2004        | Schedule 2   | 10.1(10)                | Add the road<br>marking for<br>"sharrows" into<br>Schedule 2 of the<br>Rule.   | Sharrow road markings are used to<br>indicate that a lane is to be shared<br>between cycles and general traffic.<br>The effectiveness of sharrow trials<br>has been demonstrated throughout<br>New Zealand. This proposal would<br>approve the marking for general use.  |  |
| 26  | Traffic Control<br>Devices 2004        | Schedule 3,<br>diagram S4-<br>10.1, S4-10.2<br>and S4-10.3                             | 10.1(11)                | Replace the<br>special vehicle<br>display signals<br>diagrams shown<br>in Schedule 3 of<br>the Rule.   | To correct omissions for the traffic<br>signal diagrams. The special vehicle<br>signal display diagrams S4-10.1, S4-<br>10.2 and S4-10.3 in Schedule 3 are<br>missing some aspects, e.g. the left-<br>turn yellow arrow. Correct diagrams<br>are being substituted.  |  |
| TYRES AND WH  | IEELS (SECTION 11 O                    | F THE OMNIBUS A  | AMENDMENT RUL           | E 2016)  |  |  |
| 27  | Tyres and<br>Wheels 2001               | Clause 2.7(8).   | 11.1(2)                 | To correct a<br>typographical<br>error.  | Clarifies the wording of the Clause<br>and improves and readability.   |  |
| VEHICLE DIMENSIONS AND MASS (SECTION 12 OF THE OMNIBUS AMENDMENT RULE 2016) |  |  |                         |  |  |  |
| 28  | Vehicle<br>Dimensions and<br>Mass 2002 | Table 4.1<br>Dimension<br>requirements<br>for vehicles<br>and vehicle<br>combinations. | 12.1(2)                 | Allow a<br>maximum width<br>requirement of 1.1<br>metres for all two<br>wheeled vehicles<br>of Classes AA<br>(pedal cycle), AB<br>(power assisted<br>pedal cycle), LA<br>(mopeds) and LC<br>(motorcycles). | Motorcycle handlebar widths<br>are currently specified to be no<br>more than 50cm either side of the<br>centre of the motorcycle although<br>some manufacturers are making<br>motorcycles with handlebars at<br>55cm either side of the centre of the<br>motorcycle.   |  |

| PROPOSAL<br>NO. | TDANCDODT | CURRENT<br>CLAUSE OF<br>SCHEDULE | DITE | PROPOSED<br>CHANGE | ISSUE/REASON FOR<br>CHANGE |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------------------------|------|--------------------|----------------------------|
|-----------------|-----------|----------------------------------|------|--------------------|----------------------------|

#### VEHICLE EQUIPMENT (SECTION 13 OF THE OMNIBUS AMENDMENT RULE 2016)

| 4 | Vehicle<br>Equipment<br>2004 | Definitions | 13.1(2) | Extend the<br>definition of<br>defence force<br>emergency<br>vehicle to<br>include: (d) a<br>counter-terrorism<br>response vehicle<br>operated by the<br>NZ Defence<br>Force. | See also proposal 4 above. A<br>consequential change to the<br>definition of "defence force<br>emergency vehicle" in the Road<br>User Rule. |
|---|------------------------------|-------------|---------|---|---|
|---|------------------------------|-------------|---------|---|---|

#### VEHICLE EXHAUST EMISSIONS (SECTION 14 OF THE OMNIBUS AMENDMENT RULE 2016)

| 29 | Vehicle Exhaust<br>Emissions 2007 | Table 2.2 and<br>Table 2.4. | 14.1(2) and<br>14.1(3) | Add vehicle<br>emissions<br>standard 'Japan<br>O5' for all vehicles<br>manufactured<br>after 1 January<br>2014 so that<br>vehicles may<br>comply with<br>the 'Japan O5'<br>or 'Japan 09'<br>emissions<br>standard. | To correct an error identified in<br>the Vehicle Exhaust Emissions<br>Rule (2007). This error may<br>be preventing new light petrol<br>vehicles, manufactured to meet<br>Japanese vehicle emissions<br>standards, from entering the New<br>Zealand fleet. Information sourced<br>from the Japanese Ministry for<br>Land, Infrastructure, Tourism<br>and Transport confirms that the<br>'Japan 09' standard only applies<br>to vehicles with a specific and rare<br>engine configuration. |
|----|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| 19 | Vehicle Exhaust<br>Emissions 2007 | Schedule 4                  | 14.1(4)                | Update Schedule<br>4 by replacing<br>reference to the<br>Immigration<br>Act 1987 with<br>reference to the<br>Immigration Act<br>2009.  | See also proposal 19 above<br>to update references to the<br>Immigration Act 2009.   |

### VEHICLE LIGHTING (SECTION 15 OF THE OMNIBUS AMENDMENT RULE 2016)

| 30 | Vehicle Lighting<br>2004 | Clauses<br>2.1(7), 2.1(7A)<br>and 2.1(8) | 15.1(2) and<br>15.1(3) | Allow for the<br>deactivation of<br>optional lighting<br>equipment rather<br>than requiring<br>removal if the<br>equipment does<br>not meet the<br>applicable safety<br>requirements.   | To provide vehicle owners with an<br>alternative to completely removing<br>a non-functioning accessory lamp<br>(e.g. fog lamp) from the vehicle if<br>the lamp is not a required piece of<br>equipment.  |
|----|--------------------------|--|------------------------|---|--|
| 31 | Vehicle Lighting<br>2004 | Clauses 3.3<br>and 7.4                   | 15.1(4) and<br>15.1(6) | Require pedal<br>cycle and power<br>assisted pedal<br>cycle front and<br>rear lights to be<br>visible from a<br>distance of 200m<br>between the<br>times of sunrise<br>and sunset or at<br>any other time<br>when a person<br>or vehicle is not<br>clearly at 100m. | Cycle lights that are too dim are<br>a regular factor contributing to<br>crashes involving motor vehicles.<br>The change would improve cyclist<br>visibility by increasing the distance<br>from which front and rear lights for<br>cycles and power assisted pedal<br>cycles are required to be visible<br>(currently 100m). See also proposal<br>11 regarding extending the times<br>during which lighting and reflector<br>requirements apply. |

| PROPOSAL<br>NO. | LAND<br>TRANSPORT<br>RULE | CURRENT<br>CLAUSE OF<br>SCHEDULE               | OA<br>RULE<br>REFERENCE         | PROPOSED<br>CHANGE  | ISSUE/REASON FOR<br>CHANGE   |
|-----------------|---------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 32              | Vehicle Lighting<br>2004  | Clause 5.3(2)                                  | 15.1(5)                         | Remove an<br>unnecessary<br>requirement to<br>fit high mounted<br>stop lamps<br>to vehicles<br>registered before<br>1/1/1990.   | Currently the Rule says that vehicles<br>first registered in NZ on or after<br>1/1/1990 must have high-mounted<br>stop lamps fitted. Older vehicles<br>were never manufactured with<br>these lights, requiring modification<br>of the vehicle to fit them. This<br>is undesirable, particularly with<br>collectable or classic cars and there<br>is unlikely to be any safety benefit to<br>requiring high mounted stop lamps<br>to be fitted to these vehicles. |
| 33              | Vehicle Lighting<br>2004  | Clauses<br>7.6(1A)(a),<br>7.6(4) and<br>7.6(5) | 15.1(7), 15.1(8)<br>and 15.1(9) | Remove<br>duplication by<br>revoking 7.6(4)<br>because the same<br>requirement<br>is in 7.6(8).<br>Consequentially<br>amend 7.6(1A)(a)<br>and 7.6(5).                         | Clause 7.6(8) makes clause 7.6(4)<br>unnecessary. Because clause 7.6(4)<br>is being revoked, the relevant range<br>of sub-clauses specified in 7.6 need<br>to be consequentially amended.  |
| 4               | Vehicle Lighting<br>2004  | Definitions                                    | 15.1(10)                        | Extend the<br>definition of<br>defence force<br>emergency<br>vehicle to<br>include: (d) a<br>counter-terrorism<br>response vehicle<br>operated by the<br>NZ Defence<br>Force. | See proposal 4 above. A<br>consequential change to the<br>definition of "defence force<br>emergency vehicle" in the Road<br>User Rule.   |
| 34              | Vehicle Lighting<br>2004  | Schedule 3,(f)<br>(iii)                        | 15.1(11)                        | Correct an error<br>by inserting<br>"taken" before<br>"from one part<br>of a farm to<br>another".   | Correction of a typographical error<br>to ensure that the clause makes<br>sense.   |

## VEHICLE STANDARDS COMPLIANCE (SECTION 16 OF THE OMNIBUS AMENDMENT RULE 2016)

| Standards<br>Compliance<br>2002(b)(ii)word "civil" with<br>"public" so that<br>the clause readsas 'professional<br>change ensure<br>covers both pu | y' has the same meaning<br>onal indemnity'. The<br>ures that the clause<br>public liability insurance<br>onal indemnity |
|--|---|
|--|---|

