

Out of Office

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

---

**From:** s9(2)(a)

**Sent:** Friday, 5 July 2019 3:49 PM

**To:** Glen Prince <[Glen.Prince@nzta.govt.nz](mailto:Glen.Prince@nzta.govt.nz)>

**Cc:** s9(2)(a) s9(2)(a)

Reece Foster <[Reece.Foster@nzta.govt.nz](mailto:Reece.Foster@nzta.govt.nz)>

**Subject:** RE: PP2O - Koiwi

Hi Glen

Herewith the information you've requested

**Statutory instruments and guidelines**

The archaeological work for PP2O is guided by the conditions of the Heritage NZ archaeological authority granted for the project, authority 2017/361. Condition 3 of the authority states "The authority must be exercised in accordance with the management plan".

The management plan states in Section 4:

" The archaeological site will be investigated, sampled and recorded in accordance with accepted best practice and in line with the legal conditions of Archaeological Authority 2017/361 granted by HNZPT. If koiwi tangata (human bone) [is] unearthed the protocols outlined in Section 5.3 will be followed."

Section 5.3 of the management plan states:

“ 5.3 Discovery of Koiwi Tangata (Human Remains)

If suspected human remains are identified, the following protocol will be adopted:

1. Earthworks shall cease within 20 meters of the find while an archaeologist is consulted to establish whether the bone is human.
2. The area of the site containing koiwi will be secured, ensuring that the area (and any objects contained within) remains undisturbed and meets health and safety requirements.
3. If it is determined that bone is human, earthworks will not resume in the immediate vicinity (as determined by the Project Archaeologist) until HNZPT, Nga Hapu o Otaki, M.T.A., and the New Zealand Police have been notified.
4. Nga Hapu o Otaki and M.T.A. representatives will be given the opportunity to conduct karakia in association with appropriate tikanga Maori prior to the removal of koiwi for reburial.
5. If Nga Hapu o Otaki and M.T.A. representatives agree, koiwi may be further analysed by a specialist osteo-archaeologist prior to reburial.
6. Work within the area can recommence as soon as the bones have been removed from site, and with the agreement of all relevant parties.”

The word “further” in line 5 above is significant: “koiwi may be further analysed by a specialist osteo-archaeologist prior to reburial” – use of the word “further” implies a degree of primary analysis will have been undertaken by the project archaeologist. The detail of this primary analysis, and of managing koiwi are set out in Heritage New Zealand’s Guidelines on Koiwi tangata/human remains, found at <https://www.heritage.org.nz/protecting-heritage/archaeology/archaeological-guidelines-and-templates>

Section 8 of these guidelines set out minimum standards for recording koiwi (which I have referred to in this email as primary analysis). These minimum standards are attached to this email.

In addition, the authority sets out a para on “ Current Archaeological Practice”:

“Current archaeological practice may include, but is not limited to, the production of maps/ plans/ measured drawings of site location and extent; excavation, section and artefact drawings; sampling, identification and analysis of faunal and floral remains and modified soils; radiocarbon dating of samples; the management of taonga tuturu and archaeological material; the completion of a final report and the updating of existing (or creation of new) site record forms to submit to the NZAA Site Recording Scheme. The final report shall include, but need not be limited to, site plans, section drawings, photographs, inventory of material recovered, including a catalogue of artefacts, location of where the material is currently held, and analysis of recovered material.”

### **Timeframes**

No prescribed timeframes for archaeological work are set out anywhere, as each site is a case by case basis. I would note that **s9(2)(ba)(ii), s9(2)(j)**. I am confident that work on the ridge took place in as fast and efficient manner as possible, whilst not compromising archaeological standards nor offering insult to the koiwi by rushing it. A great deal of material – **s9(2)(j), s9(2)(ba)(ii)**, have been recovered. It is very important that these are

recorded, catalogued and analysed in order to gain all the information possible. I note details of this primary analysis below.

In addition, below is information I have sent to s9(2)(a), and to s9(2)(a). As noted, I've been working on the assumption that s9(2)(a) s9(2)(ba)(ii), s9(2)(j)

### Primary analysis and cataloguing

As a requirement of the Heritage NZ archaeological authority to fulfil the standard of current archaeological practice I'm required to catalogue, s9(2)(ba)(ii), s9(2)(j) (I note the authority is a legal consent so this is a legal requirement on me). I will also undertake primary analysis of the material, s9(2)(j), s9(2)(ba)(ii)

s9(2)(j), s9(2)(ba)(ii)

s9(2)(j), s9(2)(ba)(ii)

### Secondary analysis

I also want to make iwi aware of further analysis that is possible, so the iwi and the whanau can take this into consideration during discussions. It would be possible to get a specialist osteologist (bone expert) to come to site, to make a further analysis of the bones; this person has specialist training beyond my skill level. s9(2)(ba)(ii), s9(2)(j)

a)(ii), s9(2)(j)

I have made it quite clear to project staff that any decisions on this secondary analysis rests in the first instance with the iwi – they decide if you want this done or not.

s9(2)(a)

Heritage Solutions

s9(2)(a)

[www.heritagesolutions.net.nz](http://www.heritagesolutions.net.nz)

---

**From:** Glen Prince <[Glen.Prince@nzta.govt.nz](mailto:Glen.Prince@nzta.govt.nz)>

**Sent:** 05 July 2019 15:03

**To:** s9(2)(a)

**Cc:** s9(2)(a)

s9(2)(a)

Reece Foster <[Reece.Foster@nzta.govt.nz](mailto:Reece.Foster@nzta.govt.nz)>

**Subject:** PP20 - Koiwi

Hi s9(2)(a)

As discussed, can you please confirm the absolute minimum requirements (and associated timeframes, assuming we can increase our archaeological resources) for addressing the koiwi in respect of our legal obligations under the AA. Also, can you please provide the specific

references to the AA.

Thanks again for dealing with this at such short notice.

As mentioned, I have another conference call with s9(2)(a) and s9(2)(a) at 4pm and I'd like to share the info with them in advance of the call.

Many thanks

Glen

Find the latest transport news, information, and advice on our website:

[www.nzta.govt.nz](http://www.nzta.govt.nz)

---

This email is only intended to be read by the named recipient. It may contain information which is confidential, proprietary or the subject of legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient you must delete this email and may not use any information contained in it. Legal privilege is not waived because you have read this email.

---



Virus-free. [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)

Find the latest transport news, information, and advice on our website:

[www.nzta.govt.nz](http://www.nzta.govt.nz)

---

This email is only intended to be read by the named recipient. It may contain information which is confidential, proprietary or the subject of legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient you must delete this email and may not use any information contained in it. Legal privilege is not waived because you have read this email.

---

Find the latest transport news, information, and advice on our website:

[www.nzta.govt.nz](http://www.nzta.govt.nz)

---

This email is only intended to be read by the named recipient. It may contain information which is confidential, proprietary or the subject of legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient you must delete this email and may not use any information contained in it. Legal privilege is not waived because you have read this email.

---