

Minutes or Action Points

Glen Innes to Tamaki Drive Shared Path Project

Date: 30 March 2016

Time: 4:00 – 5:00 pm

Venue: HSBC Level 17, Britomart Room

Attendees: Andrew Spittal, Chris Jones, Kathryn King, Tarun Ahuja, Jacque Bell, Hendrik Hillhorst

Apologies: Andrew Scoggins

Item	Topic	Update / Actions	Responsible
1	Confirmation of previous minutes		
1.1	Accepted		
2	Brief update on current status across project		
2.1	Consent lodgements for section 3 and 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Working on getting reviewed and lodged NZTA aiming to do lodgement next week. One issue for the consent of section 3 is that it is in located in a coastal area and needs a costal permit. NZTA will end up with a coastal permit in their name. NZTA would like to know the structure process around being the coastal permit owner. HH following up with Auckland Council Parks about NZTA becoming coastal permit holder. All parties involved need to understand the meaning/implications of this permit. Had to consider the concern of the sea rise. KK and CJ have signed off on this concern. HH to send around another email in this regards, cc in CJ. NZTA to use structures team and contractor subcontractors to ensure the structural design is adequate and meets standards. Consideration to future uses of the path and how to manage it. 	<p>JB</p> <p>JB</p> <p>HH</p> <p>JB/HH</p> <p>JB</p>
2.2	Construction section 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> On track for August completion date. Looking at what to do in regards to an official opening. Interface issue with the Pony Club, currently working through to find resolution with management of the club through section 1 and 2. NZTA cannot do much about selling the land to the Pony club after construction. Signage concern surrounding section 1 naming of path. It is up to AT to name the pathway. Does not need to have a dual name for path. Currently no convention for naming cycleway. Local Iwi would like to supply input on name. HH to put emphasis on continual engagement with Iwi. Wayfinding to be discussed with AT branding team and Maree Cotter's team. CJ to send HH an email in this regards. Wayfinding to be set as a colour and letter. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If Maree's team does not have the resources for 	<p>JB</p> <p>JB</p> <p>JB/HH</p> <p>HH</p>

Minutes or Action Points

	<p>this project, will need to resource another contractor</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Walking and Cycling have advised that wayfinding signage could be installed later if necessary after project completion. The sizes and dimensions' of the wayfinding signs are set and can be followed. CJ to send email on dimensions'. 	<p>CJ</p> <p>HH</p> <p>CJ</p>
3	Public Information/ Consultation planned for section 2 and 3	
3.1	<p>Public open days planned for 12th and 14th of April</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Providing the usual notification of the events. Updating website to reflect information. HH to send out invitation. Construction access designation plans will be shown and be part of the package. Auckland Council Parks representative has asked for the path alignment to connect AC paths in reserves for future use. 	<p>HH</p> <p>KK</p>
4	Procurement of section 3 construction contractor by AT	
4.1	<p>AT procurement advised options to stay with current contractor:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Novate existing contract to AT for section 3 New AT contract for section 3 Current NZTA contract to include section 3 <p>On the issue of price competitiveness, Broadspectrum had advised they were happy to proceed on an open book access with regards to sub-contractors quotes for works.</p> <p>AT procurement team recommended extending current contract, however this is dependent on NZTA procurement.</p> <p>NZTA consider open book the best approach and are happy to run this as an NZTA extension to the contract, to be confirmed by their procurement team.</p>	<p>HH/TA</p> <p>HH</p> <p>TA</p> <p>JB/AS</p>
4.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Procurement Strategy teams from AT and NZTA can work together 	<p>CJ/TA</p>
5	Section 4 preferred alignment – Hobson Bay crossing	
5.1	<p>Information briefing to Capital Review Committee</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PCG agreed to proceed to design for section 4 and lodge necessary consents. NZTA preliminary view was designation by them for section 4 was problematic because they do not have 'financial responsibility'. This would fall back to AT. Discussion on different aspects of financial contribution and consistent consenting approach. 	<p>JB</p> <p>CJ/TA</p>
5.2	<p>Meeting with Outboard Boating Club</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outdoor Boating Club is a concern because they want to build on the land. We will have to reach a compromise with them or risk being sent to the environmental court. Application would fail in environmental court, because the 	<p>HH/CJ</p>

Minutes or Action Points

5.3	<p>current designation of land is for a motorway not a pathway. NZTA would have to make the case they have financial support for designation. Legal advice will have to be finalised.</p> <p>Report to Local Board</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Seeking to please everyone. Would like the solution to be a path and the OBC building. • Plan C needs to be thought of as well. Can we stay with KiwiRail designation in this section? Should revisit option. 	<p>HH</p> <p>TA</p>
6	Other Matters	
6.1	<p>Bike Auckland would like to widen the path in section 3 and section 4 from 4.5m to 5m with mode separation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aaron Hutching is sending out an email information response to this matter • Mark Walker to be contacted about cost implications with the size of the path • Need to get formal response of path standards. 	
<p>Next Meeting - Select a date - Enter the time - Enter the venue</p>		

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

