

From: [Iain McAuley](#)
To: [Richard Bean](#); [Mark Edwards](#)
Subject: FW: Rainbow crossing
Date: Wednesday, 9 May 2018 3:08:12 PM

Fyi and heads up

From: Harry Wilson
Sent: Wednesday, 9 May 2018 3:00 p.m.
To: Emma Speight
Cc: Glenn Bunting; Iain McAuley; Niclas Johansson
Subject: RE: Rainbow crossing

I think this will all rest on whether they can prove it is not a traffic control device. We can only provide an exemption for a trial if it is and it is safe. I will get the team to give me some feedback on this. I think it would be really useful for them to share their legal advise. If they are right then that's good. I think it raises other issues but we do want to find a solution that meets both parties objectives if we can

From: Emma Speight
Sent: Wednesday, 9 May 2018 2:51 p.m.
To: Harry Wilson
Cc: Niclas Johansson; Glenn Bunting
Subject: FW: Rainbow crossing

Hi Harry

I bumped in to **s 9(2)(a)** today and we discussed this.

He advised that they are looking at their design to ensure that it is not considered to be a traffic management device. He confirmed that they do not intend to seek advice from us on their design or interpretation of the rule. He suggested that their legal advice is that they can proceed.

I pointed out that if they do go ahead, we would still need to make an assessment of whether the painted lines constitute a traffic management device, and if they are not a traffic management device, we would also need to consider whether they constitute a safety risk in terms of paint on the road, distractions, etc. I asked him to keep us informed on their proposed approach.

s 9(2)(g)(i)
[Redacted text block]

Em

From: Emma Speight
Sent: Tuesday, 1 May 2018 2:41 p.m.
To: s 9(2)(a)
Cc: Harry Wilson; Niclas Johansson; Glenn Bunting
Subject: RE: Rainbow crossing

Kia ora s 9(2)

I don't seem to have received any reply from your team regarding the rainbow crossing. As I said previously, we do want to work with you to identify a solution that we can assess to be safe and legal, and meets the Council's aspirations. Your team has previously indicated an intention to make the changes in June, and our strong preference would be to continue to work together on this, including clarifying our interpretation of the rule, if you intend to proceed with the design that we previously discussed.

Nga mihi

Emma

-----Original Message-----

From: Emma Speight
Sent: Wednesday, 11 April 2018 11:10 a.m.
To: s 9(2)(a)
Cc: Harry Wilson; Niclas Johansson
Subject: Rainbow crossing

Kia ora s 9(2)

I'm following up on our meeting on the 27th. I'm interested to know whether your team has given any further consideration to the options that we discussed?

Also, at the end of the meeting, your team said that they would forward a letter to us requesting a ruling on whether the painted lines on the road constitute a traffic control device - we haven't received any letter as yet, so also want to understand whether you still want this interpretation of the rule. It would be helpful if you could forward any designs with the request, so that we are clear about exactly what you are proposing.

Nga mihi

Emma

Sent from my iPad